

solving systems

L. Olson

Department of Computer Science
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

objectives

- Construct a linear system for a problem
- Solve a linear system
- Analyze the cost (and accuracy?) of a solve
- Develop an algorithm for solving systems

gaussian elimination

- Solving Triangular Systems
- Gaussian Elimination Without Pivoting
 - Hand Calculations
 - Cartoon Version
 - Algorithm
- Elementary Elimination Matrices And LU Factorization

gaussian elimination

Gaussian elimination is a mostly general method for solving square systems.

We will work with systems in their matrix form, such as

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 + 3x_2 + 5x_3 &= 4 \\9x_1 + 7x_2 + 8x_3 &= 6 \\3x_1 + 2x_2 + 7x_3 &= 1,\end{aligned}$$

in its equivalent matrix form,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 9 & 7 & 8 \\ 3 & 2 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 6 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

triangular systems

The generic lower and upper triangular matrices are

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} l_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ l_{21} & l_{22} & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ l_{n1} & & \cdots & l_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & u_{12} & \cdots & u_{1n} \\ 0 & u_{22} & & u_{2n} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & \cdots & u_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

The triangular systems

$$Ly = b \quad Ux = c$$

are easily solved by **forward substitution** and **backward substitution**, respectively

solving triangular systems

Solving for x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n for an upper triangular system is called **backward substitution**.

Listing 1: backward substitution (page 270)

```
1  given  $A$  (upper  $\Delta$ ),  $b$ 
2   $x_n = b_n/a_{nn}$ 
3  for  $i = n - 1 \dots 1$ 
4       $s = b_i$ 
5      for  $j = i + 1 \dots n$ 
6           $s = s - a_{i,j}x_j$ 
7      end
8       $x_i = s/a_{i,i}$ 
9  end
```

solving triangular systems

Solving for x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n for an upper triangular system is called **backward substitution**.

Listing 2: backward substitution (page 270)

```
1  given  $A$  (upper  $\triangle$ ),  $b$ 
2   $x_n = b_n/a_{nn}$ 
3  for  $i = n - 1 \dots 1$ 
4       $s = b_i$ 
5      for  $j = i + 1 \dots n$ 
6           $s = s - a_{i,j}x_j$ 
7      end
8       $x_i = s/a_{i,i}$ 
9  end
```

Using forward or backward substitution is sometimes referred to as performing a **triangular solve**.

operations?

cheap!

- begin in the bottom corner: 1 div
- row -2: 1 mult, 1 add, 1 div, or 3 FLOPS
- row -3: 2 mult, 2 add, 1 div, or 5 FLOPS
- row -4: 3 mult, 3 add, 1 div, or 7 FLOPS
- \vdots
- row - j : about $2j - 1$ FLOPS

Total FLOPS? $\sum_{j=1}^n 2j - 1 = 2 \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - n$ or $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ FLOPS

gaussian elimination

- Triangular systems are easy to solve in $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ FLOPS
- Goal is to transform an arbitrary, square system into an equivalent upper triangular system
- Then easily solve with backward substitution

This process is equivalent to the *formal solution* of $Ax = b$, where A is an $n \times n$ matrix.

$$x = A^{-1}b$$

gaussian elimination — hand calculations

Solve

$$x_1 + 3x_2 = 5$$

$$2x_1 + 4x_2 = 6$$

Subtract 2 times the first equation from the second equation

$$x_1 + 3x_2 = 5$$

$$-2x_2 = -4$$

This equation is now in triangular form, and can be solved by backward substitution.

gaussian elimination — hand calculations

The elimination phase transforms the matrix and right hand side to an equivalent system

$$\begin{array}{r} x_1 + 3x_2 = 5 \\ 2x_1 + 4x_2 = 6 \end{array} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \begin{array}{r} x_1 + 3x_2 = 5 \\ -2x_2 = -4 \end{array}$$

The two systems have the same solution. The right hand system is upper triangular.

Solve the second equation for x_2

$$x_2 = \frac{-4}{-2} = 2$$

Substitute the newly found value of x_2 into the first equation and solve for x_1 .

$$x_1 = 5 - (3)(2) = -1$$

gaussian elimination — hand calculations

When performing Gaussian Elimination by hand, we can avoid copying the x_i by using a shorthand notation.

For example, to solve:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 2 & -1 \\ 6 & -6 & 7 \\ 3 & -4 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -7 \\ -6 \end{bmatrix}$$

Form the *augmented* system

$$\tilde{A} = [A \ b] = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} -3 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\ 6 & -6 & 7 & -7 \\ 3 & -4 & 4 & -6 \end{array} \right]$$

The vertical bar inside the augmented matrix is just a reminder that the last column is the b vector.

gaussian elimination — hand calculations

Add 2 times row 1 to row 2, and add (1 times) row 1 to row 3

$$\tilde{A}_{(1)} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} -3 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 5 & -9 \\ 0 & -2 & 3 & -7 \end{array} \right]$$

Subtract (1 times) row 2 from row 3

$$\tilde{A}_{(2)} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} -3 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 5 & -9 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 2 \end{array} \right]$$

gaussian elimination — hand calculations

The transformed system is now in upper triangular form

$$\tilde{A}_{(2)} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} -3 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 5 & -9 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 2 \end{array} \right]$$

Solve by back substitution to get

$$x_3 = \frac{2}{-2} = -1$$

$$x_2 = \frac{1}{-2} (-9 - 5x_3) = 2$$

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{-3} (-1 - 2x_2 + x_3) = 2$$

gaussian elimination — cartoon version

Start with the augmented system

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & x & x & x & x \\ x & x & x & x & x \\ x & x & x & x & x \\ x & x & x & x & x \end{bmatrix}$$

The x 's represent numbers, they are generally *not* the same values.

Begin elimination using the first row as the *pivot row* and the first element of the first row as the pivot element

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boxed{x} & x & x & x & x \\ x & x & x & x & x \\ x & x & x & x & x \\ x & x & x & x & x \end{bmatrix}$$

gaussian elimination — cartoon version

- Eliminate elements under the pivot element in the first column.
- x' indicates a value that has been changed once.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boxed{x} & x & x & x & x \\ x & x & x & x & x \\ x & x & x & x & x \\ x & x & x & x & x \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \boxed{x} & x & x & x & x \\ 0 & x' & x' & x' & x' \\ x & x & x & x & x \\ x & x & x & x & x \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \boxed{x} & x & x & x & x \\ 0 & x' & x' & x' & x' \\ 0 & x' & x' & x' & x' \\ x & x & x & x & x \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \boxed{x} & x & x & x & x \\ 0 & x' & x' & x' & x' \\ 0 & x' & x' & x' & x' \\ 0 & x' & x' & x' & x' \end{bmatrix}$$

gaussian elimination — cartoon version

- The pivot element is now the diagonal element in the second row.
- Eliminate elements under the pivot element in the second column.
- x'' indicates a value that has been changed twice.

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & x & x & x & x \\ 0 & \boxed{x'} & x' & x' & x' \\ 0 & x' & x' & x' & x' \\ 0 & x' & x' & x' & x' \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x & x & x & x & x \\ 0 & \boxed{x'} & x' & x' & x' \\ 0 & 0 & x'' & x'' & x'' \\ 0 & x' & x' & x' & x' \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x & x & x & x & x \\ 0 & \boxed{x'} & x' & x' & x' \\ 0 & 0 & x'' & x'' & x'' \\ 0 & 0 & x'' & x'' & x'' \end{bmatrix}$$

gaussian elimination — cartoon version

- The pivot element is now the diagonal element in the third row.
- Eliminate elements under the pivot element in the third column.
- x''' indicates a value that has been changed three times.

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & x & x & x & x \\ 0 & x' & x' & x' & x' \\ 0 & 0 & \boxed{x''} & x'' & x'' \\ 0 & 0 & x'' & x'' & x'' \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x & x & x & x & x \\ 0 & x' & x' & x' & x' \\ 0 & 0 & \boxed{x''} & x'' & x'' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x''' & x''' \end{bmatrix}$$

gaussian elimination — cartoon version

Summary

- Gaussian Elimination is an orderly process for transforming an augmented matrix into an equivalent upper triangular form.
- The elimination operation at the k^{th} step is

$$\tilde{a}_{ij} = \tilde{a}_{ij} - (\tilde{a}_{ik}/\tilde{a}_{kk})\tilde{a}_{kj}, \quad i > k, \quad j \geq k$$

- Elimination requires three nested loops.
- The result of the elimination phase is represented by the image below.

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & x & x & x & x \\ x & x & x & x & x \\ x & x & x & x & x \\ x & x & x & x & x \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x & x & x & x & x \\ 0 & x' & x' & x' & x' \\ 0 & 0 & x'' & x'' & x'' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x''' & x''' \end{bmatrix}$$

Summary

- Transform a linear system into (upper) triangular form. i.e. transform lower triangular part to zero
- Transformation is done by taking linear combinations of rows
- Example: $a = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix}$
- If $a_1 \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -a_2/a_1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

gaussian elimination algorithm

Listing 3: Forward Elimination beta

```
1  given  $A, b$ 
2
3  for  $k = 1 \dots n - 1$ 
4      for  $i = k + 1 \dots n$ 
5          for  $j = k \dots n$ 
6               $a_{ij} = a_{ij} - (a_{ik}/a_{kk})a_{kj}$ 
7          end
8           $b_i = b_i - (a_{ik}/a_{kk})b_k$ 
9      end
10 end
```

- the multiplier can be moved outside the j -loop
- no reason to actually compute 0

Challenge: The loops over i and j may be exchanged—why would one

gaussian elimination algorithm

Listing 4: Forward Elimination

```
1  given  $A, b$ 
2
3  for  $k = 1 \dots n - 1$ 
4    for  $i = k + 1 \dots n$ 
5       $xmult = a_{ik} / a_{kk}$ 
6       $a_{ik} = 0$ 
7      for  $j = k + 1 \dots n$ 
8         $a_{ij} = a_{ij} - (xmult) a_{kj}$ 
9      end
10      $b_i = b_i - (xmult) b_k$ 
11   end
12 end
```

naive gaussian elimination algorithm

- Forward Elimination
- + Backward substitution
- = Naive Gaussian Elimination

forward elimination cost?

What is the cost in converting from A to U ?

Step	Add	Multiply	Divide
1	$(n-1)^2$	$(n-1)^2$	$n-1$
2	$(n-2)^2$	$(n-2)^2$	$n-2$
\vdots			
n-1	1	1	1

or

add	$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j^2$
multiply	$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j^2$
divide	$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j$

forward elimination cost?

add	$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j^2$
multiply	$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j^2$
divide	$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j$

We know $\sum_{j=1}^p j = \frac{p(p+1)}{2}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^p j^2 = \frac{p(p+1)(2p+1)}{6}$, so

add-subtracts	$\frac{n(n-1)(2n-1)}{6}$
multiply-divides	$\frac{n(n-1)(2n-1)}{6} + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} = \frac{n(n^2-1)}{3}$

forward elimination cost?

add-subtracts	$\frac{n(n-1)(2n-1)}{6}$
multiply-divides	$\frac{n(n^2-1)}{3}$
add-subtract for b	$\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$
multiply-divides for b	$\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$

back substitution cost

As before

add-subtract	$\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$
multiply-divides	$\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$

naive gaussian elimination cost

Combining the cost of forward elimination and backward substitution gives

add-subtracts	$\frac{n(n-1)(2n-1)}{6} + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ $= \frac{n(n-1)(2n+5)}{3}$
multiply-divides	$\frac{n(n^2-1)}{3} + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ $= \frac{n(n^2+3n-1)}{3}$

So the total cost of add-subtract-multiply-divide is about

$$\frac{2}{3}n^3$$

⇒ double n results in a cost increase of a factor of 8

elimination matrices

- Another way to zero out entries in a column of A
- Annihilate entries below k^{th} element in a with matrix, M_k :

$$M_k a = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & -m_{k+1} & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & -m_n & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_k \\ a_{k+1} \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_k \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $m_i = a_i/a_k$, $i = k + 1, \dots, n$.

- The divisor a_k is the “pivot” (and needs to be nonzero)

elimination matrices

- Matrix M_k is an “elementary elimination matrix”
 - Adds a multiple of row k to each subsequent row, with “multipliers” m_i
 - Result is zeros in the k^{th} column for rows $i > k$.
- M_k is unit lower triangular and nonsingular
- $M_k = I - m_k e_k^T$ where $m_k = [0, \dots, 0, m_{k+1}, \dots, m_n]^T$ and e_k is the k^{th} column of the identity matrix I .
- $M_k^{-1} = I + m_k e_k^T$, which means M_k^{-1} is also lower triangular, and we will denote $M_k^{-1} = L_k$.

Can you prove $M_k^{-1} = I + m_k e_k^T$?

elimination matrices

- Suppose M_j and M_k are elementary elimination matrices with $j > k$, then

$$\begin{aligned}M_k M_j &= I - m_k e_k^T - m_j e_j^T + m_k e_k^T m_j e_j^T \\ &= I - m_k e_k^T - m_j e_j^T + m_k (e_k^T m_j) e_j^T \\ &= I - m_k e_k^T - m_j e_j^T\end{aligned}$$

because the k^{th} entry of vector m_j is zero (since $j > k$)

- Thus $M_k M_j$ is essentially a union of their columns.
- Note this is also true for $M_k^{-1} M_j^{-1}$.

example

$$\text{Let } a = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$M_1 a = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$M_2 a = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

example

So

$$L_1 = M_1^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad L_2 = M_2^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1/2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

which means

$$M_1 M_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1/2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad L_1 L_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1/2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

gaussian elimination

- To reduce $Ax = b$ to upper triangular form, first construct M_1 with a_{11} as the pivot (eliminating the first column of A below the diagonal.)
- Then $M_1Ax = M_1b$ still has the same solution.
- Next construct M_2 with pivot a_{22} to eliminate the second column below the diagonal.
- Then $M_2M_1Ax = M_2M_1b$ still has the same solution
- $M_{n-1} \dots M_1Ax = M_{n-1} \dots M_1b$
- Let $M = M_nM_{n-1} \dots M_1$. Then $MAx = Mb$, with MA upper triangular.
- Do back substitution on $MAx = Mb$.

another way to look at a

We've mentioned L and U today. Why?

Consider this

$$A = A$$

$$A = (M^{-1}M)A$$

$$A = (M_1^{-1}M_2^{-1} \dots M_n^{-1})(M_nM_{n-1} \dots M_1)A$$

$$A = (M_1^{-1}M_2^{-1} \dots M_n^{-1})((M_nM_{n-1} \dots M_1)A)$$

$$A = \quad \quad \quad L \quad \quad \quad U$$

But MA is upper triangular, and we've seen that $M_1^{-1} \dots M_n^{-1}$ is lower triangular. Thus, we have an algorithm that factors A into two matrices L and U .

why is this “naive”?

Example

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1e-10 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$