



Least Squares Data Fitting

- Existence, Uniqueness, and Conditioning 2
- Solving Linear Least Squares Problems 3



Least Squares Data Fitting

# Method of Least Squares

- Measurement errors are inevitable in observational and experimental sciences
- Errors can be smoothed out by averaging over many cases, i.e., taking more measurements than are strictly necessary to determine parameters of system
- Resulting system is *overdetermined*, so usually there is no exact solution
- In effect, higher dimensional data are projected into lower dimensional space to suppress irrelevant detail
- Such projection is most conveniently accomplished by method of *least squares*



Least Squares Data Fitting

# Linear Least Squares

- For linear problems, we obtain *overdetermined* linear system Ax = b, with  $m \times n$  matrix A, m > n
- System is better written  $Ax \cong b$ , since equality is usually not exactly satisfiable when m > n
- Least squares solution x minimizes squared Euclidean norm of residual vector r = b Ax,

$$\min_{\bm{x}} \|\bm{r}\|_2^2 = \min_{\bm{x}} \|\bm{b} - \bm{A}\bm{x}\|_2^2$$

### Least Squares Idea

Given  $\underline{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , with m > n, find:

$$\underline{y} := A\underline{x} = \underline{a}_1 x_1 + \underline{a}_2 x_2 + \dots + \underline{a}_n \underline{x}_n \approx \underline{b}$$
$$\underline{r} := \underline{b} - A\underline{x} = \underline{b} - \underline{y}$$

Least squares:

*Minimize* 
$$||\underline{r}||_2 = \left[\sum_{i=1}^m (b_i - y_i)^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

This system is overdetermined.

There are more equations than unknowns.

### Least Squares Idea

With m > n, we have:

- Lots of data ( $\underline{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ )
- A few model parameters  $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$
- A few candidate basis vectors  $(\underline{a}_1, \underline{a}_2, \dots, \underline{a}_n)$
- Our estimate,  $\underline{y} = A\underline{x}$

The matrix A is tall and thin.



### **Most Important Picture**

Geometric relationships among b, r, and span(A) are shown in diagram



- □ The vector **y** is the *orthogonal projection* of **b** onto span(**A**).
- □ The projection results in minimization of  $|| r ||_2$ , which, as we shall see, is equivalent to having  $r := b Ax \perp \text{span}(A)$

#### **1D** Projection

• Consider the 1D subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  spanned by  $\mathbf{a}_1$ :

```
\alpha \mathbf{a}_1 \in \operatorname{span}{\mathbf{a}_1}.
```

- The *projection* of a point  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  onto  $\operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{a}_1\}$  is the point on the line  $\mathbf{y} = \alpha \mathbf{a}_1$  that is closest to  $\mathbf{b}$ .
- To find the projection, we look for the value  $\alpha$  that minimizes  $||\mathbf{r}|| = ||\alpha \mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{b}||$  in the 2-norm. (Other norms are also possible.)



#### **1D** Projection

• Minimizing the square of the residual with respect to  $\alpha$ , we have

$$\frac{d}{d\alpha} ||\mathbf{r}||^2 =$$

$$= \frac{d}{d\alpha} (\mathbf{b} - \alpha \mathbf{a}_1)^T (\mathbf{b} - \alpha \mathbf{a}_1)$$

$$= \frac{d}{d\alpha} [\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{b} + \alpha^2 \mathbf{a}_1^T \mathbf{a}_1 - 2\alpha \mathbf{a}_1^T \mathbf{b}$$

$$= 2\alpha \mathbf{a}_1^T \mathbf{a}_1 - 2 \mathbf{a}_1^T \mathbf{b} = 0$$

• For this to be a minimum, we require the last expression to be zero, which implies

$$\alpha = \frac{\mathbf{a}_1^T \mathbf{b}}{\mathbf{a}_1^T \mathbf{a}_1}, \implies \mathbf{y} = \alpha \mathbf{a}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{a}_1^T \mathbf{b}}{\mathbf{a}_1^T \mathbf{a}_1} \mathbf{a}_1.$$

- We see that **y** points in the direction of **a**<sub>1</sub> and has magnitude that scales as **b** (but not with **a**<sub>1</sub>).
- Note that the numerator in the expression above can be zero; the denominator cannot unless  $\mathbf{a}_1 = \mathbf{0}$ .

#### **Projection in Higher Dimensions**

- Here, we have basis coefficients  $x_i$  written as  $\mathbf{x} = [x_1 \dots x_n]^T$ .
- As before, we minimize the square of the norm of the residual

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{r}||^2 &= ||A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}||^2 \\ &= (A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b})^T (A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}) \\ &= \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}^T A \mathbf{x} - (A \mathbf{x})^T \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{x}^T A^T A \mathbf{x} \\ &= \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{x}^T A^T A \mathbf{x} - 2 \mathbf{x}^T A^T \mathbf{b}. \end{aligned}$$

• As in the 1D case, we require stationarity with respect to all coefficients

$$\frac{d}{dx_i} ||\mathbf{r}||^2 = 0$$

- The first term is constant.
- The second and third are more complex.

### **Projection in Higher Dimensions**

• Define 
$$\mathbf{c} = A^T \mathbf{b}$$
 and  $H = A^T A$  such that

$$\mathbf{x}^{T} A^{T} \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{c} = x_{1}c_{1} + x_{2}c_{2} + \dots x_{n}c_{n}.$$
$$\mathbf{x}^{T} A^{T} A \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^{T} H \mathbf{x} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k} H_{kj} x_{j}$$

• Differentiating with respect to  $x_i$ ,

$$\frac{d}{dx_i} \left( \mathbf{x}^T A^T \mathbf{b} \right) = c_i = \left( A^T \mathbf{b} \right)_i, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\frac{d}{dx_i} \left( \mathbf{x}^T H \mathbf{x} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n H_{ij} x_j + \sum_{k=1}^n x_k H_{ki}$$
$$= 2 \sum_{j=1}^n H_{ij} x_j = 2 (H \mathbf{x})_i.$$

#### **Projection in Higher Dimensions**

• From the preceding pages, the minimum is realized when

$$0 = \frac{d}{dx_i} \left( \mathbf{x}^T A^T A \mathbf{x} - 2\mathbf{x}^T A^T \mathbf{b} \right) = 2 \left( A^T A \mathbf{x} - A^T \mathbf{b} \right)_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

• Or, in matrix form:

$$\mathbf{x} = \left(A^T A\right)^{-1} A^T \mathbf{b}.$$

• As in the 1D case, our *projection* is

$$\mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{x} = A \left(A^T A\right)^{-1} A^T \mathbf{b}.$$

- $\mathbf{y}$  has units and length that scale with  $\mathbf{b}$ , but it lies in the range of A.
- It is the projection of **b** onto R(A).

Note: (A<sup>T</sup>A)<sup>-1</sup> exists as long as the columns of A are independent.

### Important Example: Weighted Least Squares

• Standard inner-product:

$$(u, v)_2 := \sum_{i=1}^m u_i v_i = \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{v},$$
  
 $||\mathbf{r}||_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m r_i^2 = \mathbf{r}^T \mathbf{r},$ 

• Consider *weighted* inner-product:

$$(u,v)_W := \sum_{i=1}^m u_i w_i v_i = \mathbf{u}^T W \mathbf{v}$$
, where

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 & & & \\ & w_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & w_m \end{bmatrix}, \quad w_i > 0.$$

$$||\mathbf{r}||_w^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m w_i r_i^2 = \mathbf{r}^T W \mathbf{r},$$

• If we want to minimize in a weighted norm:

Find  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $||\mathbf{r}||_W^2$  is minimized.

• Require

$$\frac{d}{dx_i} \left[ (\mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x})^T W (\mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x}) \right]$$

$$= \frac{d}{dx_i} \left[ \mathbf{b}^T W \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{x}^T A^T W A \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^T A^T W \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}^T W A \mathbf{x} \right]$$

$$= \frac{d}{dx_i} \left[ \mathbf{x}^T A^T W A \mathbf{x} - 2\mathbf{x}^T A^T W \mathbf{b} \right]$$

$$= 0.$$

• Thus, 
$$\mathbf{x} = (A^T W A)^{-1} A^T W \mathbf{b},$$
  
 $\mathbf{y} = A \mathbf{x} = A (A^T W A)^{-1} A^T W \mathbf{b}, \approx \mathbf{b}.$ 

- y is the **weighted** least-squares approximation to b.
- Works for any SPD W, not just (positive) diagonal ones.
- Can be used to solve linear systems.

- In particular, suppose  $W\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{z}$ .
- Linear system  $\mathbf{z}$  is right-hand side, known. —  $\mathbf{b}$  is unknown.
- Want to find weighted least-squares fit,  $\mathbf{y} \approx \mathbf{b}$ , minimizing  $||\mathbf{y} \mathbf{b}||_W^2$  with  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{R}(A)$ .
- Answer:

$$\mathbf{y} = A \left( A^T W A \right)^{-1} A^T W \mathbf{b}$$
$$= A \left( A^T W A \right)^{-1} A^T \mathbf{z}$$
$$= A \mathbf{x}$$

 ← Here, we approximate b=W<sup>-1</sup>z without knowing b. We only need matrix-vector products of the form Wa<sub>j</sub> plus some means of effecting inversion of the small nxn matrix, A<sup>T</sup>WA.

- Suppose W is a sparse  $m \times m$  matrix with (say)  $m > 10^6$ .
- Factor cost is likely very large (superlinear in m).
- If  $A = (\mathbf{a}_1 \, \mathbf{a}_2 \, \cdots \, \mathbf{a}_n), n \ll m$ , can form *n* vectors,

 $WA = (W\mathbf{a}_1 W\mathbf{a}_2 \cdots W\mathbf{a}_n),$ 

and the *Gram* matrix,  $\tilde{W} = A^T W A = [\mathbf{a}_i^T W \mathbf{a}_j]$ , and solve

$$\widetilde{W}\mathbf{x} = A^T\mathbf{z} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1^T\mathbf{z} \\ \mathbf{a}_2^T\mathbf{z} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{a}_n^T\mathbf{z} \end{pmatrix},$$

which requires solution of a small  $n \times n$  system,  $\tilde{W}$ .

• Once we have **x**,

$$\mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{x} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{a}_j x_j \approx \mathbf{b} := W^{-1}\mathbf{z}.$$

- So, weighted inner-product allows us to approximate b, the solution to Wb = z, without knowing b !
- Approximate solution  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{R}(A) = \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{a}_1 \, \mathbf{a}_2 \, \cdots \, \mathbf{a}_n\}:$

$$\mathbf{y} = A \left( A^T W A \right)^{-1} A^T \mathbf{z}$$

• **y** is the **projection** of **b** onto  $\mathcal{R}(A)$ ,

- the closest approximation or best fit in  $\mathcal{R}(A)$  in the W-norm.



• **r** is *W*-orthogonal to  $\mathcal{R}(A)$ .  $\leftarrow \mathbf{r}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{0}$ .

- Very often can have accurate approximations with  $n \ll m$ .
- In particular, if  $\kappa := \operatorname{cond}(W)$ , and

$$\mathcal{R}(A) = \operatorname{span}\{W\mathbf{b}, W^{2}\mathbf{b}, \cdots, W^{k}\mathbf{b}\}$$
$$= \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{z}, W\mathbf{z}, \cdots, W^{k-1}\mathbf{z}\},$$

then can have an accurate answer with  $k \approx \sqrt{\kappa}$ .

- Can keep increasing  $\mathcal{R}(A)$  with additional matrix-vector products.
- This method corresponds to *conjugate gradient iteration* applied to the SPD system  $W\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{z}$ .

### **Back to Standard Least Squares**

- Suppose we have observational data, { b<sub>i</sub> } at some independent times { t<sub>i</sub> } (red circles).
- The t<sub>i</sub> s do not need to be sorted and can in fact be repeated.
- We wish to fit a smooth model (blue curve) to the data so we can compactly describe (and perhaps integrate or differentiate) the functional relationship between b(t) and t.

A common model is of the form:

$$y(t) = \phi_1(t)x_1 + \phi_2(t)x_2 + \ldots + \phi_n(t)x_n$$

The  $\phi_j(t)$ s are the basis functions and  $x_j$ s the unknown basis coefficients.

The system is *linear* with respect to the unknowns, hence, these are *linear least squares* problems.



### Example

- To proceed, we assume  $b_i$  represents a function at time points  $t_i$ , which we are trying to model.
- We select basis functions, e.g., φ<sub>j</sub>(t) = t<sup>j-1</sup> would span the space of polynomials of up to degree n 1.
   (This might not be the best basis for the polynomials...)
- We then set  $\{\underline{a}_j\}_i = \phi_j(t_i)$  for each column j = 1, ..., n.
- We then solve the linear least squares problem:  $\min ||\underline{b} A\underline{x}||^2$
- Once we have the  $x_j$ s, we can reconstruct the smooth function:

$$y(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \phi_j(t) x_j$$



### Matlab Example

% Linear Least Squares Demo

degree=3; m=20; n=degree+1;

```
t=3*(rand(m,1)-0.5);
b = t.^3 - t; b=b+0.2*rand(m,1); %% Expect: x =~ [0-1 01]
```

plot(t,b,'ro'), pause

%%% DEFINE a\_ij = phi\_j(t\_i)

A=zeros(m,n); for j=1:n; A(:,j) = t.^(j-1); end;

A0=A; b0=b; % Save A & b.

%%%% SOLVE LEAST SQUARES PROBLEM via Normal Equations &&&&

 $x = (A'^*A) \setminus A'^*b$ 

plot(t,b0,'ro',t,A0\*x,'bo',t,1\*(b0-A0\*x),'kx'), pause plot(t,A0\*x,'bo'), pause

%% CONSTRUCT SMOOTH APPROXIMATION

tt=(0:100)'/100; tt=min(t) + (max(t)-min(t))\*tt; S=zeros(101,n); for k=1:n; S(:,k) = tt.^(k-1); end; s=S\*x;

plot(t,b0,'ro',tt,s,'b-') title('Least Squares Model Fitting to Cubic') xlabel('Independent Variable, t') ylabel('Dependent Variable b\_i and y(t)')

#### Python Least Squares Example

```
# % Linear Least Squares Demo
import numpy as np
import scipy as sp
import matplotlib
matplotlib.use('MacOSX')
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
##import pylab
degree=3; m=20; n=degree+1;
t=3*(np.random.rand(m,1)-0.5);
b = t * * 3 - t;
b = b+0.2 \times np.random.rand(m,1); ##Expect: x =~ [0 -1 0 1]
plt.plot(t,b,'ro')
plt.show()
                                                       # %%%% SOLVE LEAST SQUARES PROBLEM via Normal Equations
                                                       x = np.linalq.solve(np.dot(A.T, A), np.dot(A.T,b))
# %%% DEFINE a_ij = phi_j(t_i)
                                                       plt.figure()
A=np.zeros((m,n))
                                                       plt.plot(t,b0,'ro')
for j in range(n):
                                                       plt.plot(t,np.dot(A0,x), 'bo')
    A[:,j] = (t**(j)).T;
                                                       plt.plot(t,b0-np.dot(A0,x),'kx')
A = 0 A
                                                       plt.show()
b0=b; # Save A & b.
                                                       plt.figure()
                                                       plt.plot(t,np.dot(A0,x), 'bo')
                                                       plt.show()
                                                       # %% CONSTRUCT SMOOTH APPROXIMATION
                                                       tt=np.linspace(0,100,101)/100
                                                       tt=min(t) + (max(t)-min(t))*tt;
                                                       S=np.zeros((101,n))
                                                       for k in range(n):
                                                           S[:,k] = tt**(k)
                                                       s = np.dot(S, x)
                                                       plt.figure()
                                                       plt.plot(t,b0,'ro')
                                                       plt.plot(tt,s,'b-')
                                                       plt.title('Least Squares Model Fitting to Cubic')
                                                       plt.xlabel('Independent Variable, t')
                                                       plt.ylabel('Dependent Variable b i and y(t)')
                                                       plt.show()
```

### Note on the text examples

- □ Note, the text uses similar examples.
- The notation in the examples is a bit different from the rest of the derivation... so be sure to pay attention.

Least Squares Data Fitting

**Data Fitting** 

• Given *m* data points  $(t_i, y_i)$ , find *n*-vector *x* of parameters that gives "best fit" to model function f(t, x),

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{x}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (y_i - f(t_i, \boldsymbol{x}))^2$$

• Problem is *linear* if function f is linear in components of x,

$$f(t, \mathbf{x}) = x_1 \phi_1(t) + x_2 \phi_2(t) + \dots + x_n \phi_n(t)$$

where functions  $\phi_j$  depend only on t

• Problem can be written in matrix form as  $Ax \cong b$ , with  $a_{ij} = \phi_j(t_i)$  and  $b_i = y_i$ 

Least Squares Data Fitting

Data Fitting

Polynomial fitting

$$f(t, \mathbf{x}) = x_1 + x_2 t + x_3 t^2 + \dots + x_n t^{n-1}$$

is linear, since polynomial linear in coefficients, though nonlinear in independent variable t

Fitting sum of exponentials

$$f(t, \boldsymbol{x}) = x_1 e^{x_2 t} + \dots + x_{n-1} e^{x_n t}$$

is example of nonlinear problem

 For now, we will consider only linear least squares problems

Least Squares Data Fitting

# Example: Data Fitting

 Fitting quadratic polynomial to five data points gives linear least squares problem

$$\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t_1 & t_1^2 \\ 1 & t_2 & t_2^2 \\ 1 & t_3 & t_3^2 \\ 1 & t_4 & t_4^2 \\ 1 & t_5 & t_5^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} \cong \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \\ y_4 \\ y_5 \end{bmatrix} = \boldsymbol{b}$$

 Matrix whose columns (or rows) are successive powers of independent variable is called Vandermonde matrix

Least Squares Data Fitting

# Example, continued

For data

overdetermined  $5\times 3$  linear system is

$$\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1.0 & 1.0 \\ 1 & -0.5 & 0.25 \\ 1 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 1 & 0.5 & 0.25 \\ 1 & 1.0 & 1.0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} \cong \begin{bmatrix} 1.0 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.5 \\ 2.0 \end{bmatrix} = \boldsymbol{b}$$

Solution, which we will see later how to compute, is

$$\boldsymbol{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.086 & 0.40 & 1.4 \end{bmatrix}^T$$

so approximating polynomial is

$$p(t) = 0.086 + 0.4t + 1.4t^2$$

Michael T. Heath Scientific Computing

8 / 61

Least Squares Data Fitting

## Example, continued

• Resulting curve and original data points are shown in graph





Existence and Uniqueness Orthogonality Conditioning

## **Existence and Uniqueness**

- Linear least squares problem  $Ax \cong b$  always has solution
- Solution is *unique* if, and only if, columns of A are *linearly independent*, i.e., rank(A) = n, where A is  $m \times n$
- If rank(A) < n, then A is *rank-deficient*, and solution of linear least squares problem is not unique
- For now, we assume  $\boldsymbol{A}$  has full column rank n

*Note: The minimizer, y, is unique.* 

Existence and Uniqueness Orthogonality Conditioning

**Normal Equations** 

To minimize squared Euclidean norm of residual vector

$$egin{array}{rll} |m{r}\|_2^2 &=& m{r}^Tm{r} = (m{b} - m{A}m{x})^T(m{b} - m{A}m{x}) \ &=& m{b}^Tm{b} - 2m{x}^Tm{A}^Tm{b} + m{x}^Tm{A}^Tm{A}m{x} \end{array}$$

take derivative with respect to x and set it to 0,

$$2\boldsymbol{A}^T\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x} - 2\boldsymbol{A}^T\boldsymbol{b} = \boldsymbol{0}$$

which reduces to  $n \times n$  linear system of *normal equations* 

$$\boldsymbol{A}^T \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{A}^T \boldsymbol{b}$$

# Orthogonality

- Vectors  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are *orthogonal* if their inner product is zero,  $v_1^T v_2 = 0$
- Space spanned by columns of  $m \times n$  matrix A, span $(A) = \{Ax : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ , is of dimension at most n
- If m > n, b generally does not lie in span(A), so there is no exact solution to Ax = b
- Vector y = Ax in span(A) closest to b in 2-norm occurs when residual r = b - Ax is *orthogonal* to span(A),

$$\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{A}^T (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x})$$

again giving system of *normal equations* 

$$\boldsymbol{A}^T \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{A}^T \boldsymbol{b}$$

Existence and Uniqueness Orthogonality Conditioning

Orthogonality, continued

 Geometric relationships among b, r, and span(A) are shown in diagram





Existence and Uniqueness Orthogonality Conditioning

# **Orthogonal Projectors**

- Matrix P is orthogonal projector if it is idempotent  $(P^2 = P)$  and symmetric  $(P^T = P)$
- Orthogonal projector onto orthogonal complement span $(P)^{\perp}$  is given by  $P_{\perp} = I P$
- For any vector v,

$$oldsymbol{v} = (oldsymbol{P} + (oldsymbol{I} - oldsymbol{P})) \ oldsymbol{v} = oldsymbol{P} oldsymbol{v} + oldsymbol{P}_{oldsymbol{\perp}} oldsymbol{v}$$

• For least squares problem  $Ax \cong b$ , if rank(A) = n, then

$$\boldsymbol{P} = \boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{A}^T\boldsymbol{A})^{-1}\boldsymbol{A}^T$$

is orthogonal projector onto  $\mbox{span}({\boldsymbol{A}}),$  and

$$b = Pb + P_{\perp}b = Ax + (b - Ax) = y + r$$

Existence and Uniqueness Orthogonality Conditioning

# Pseudoinverse and Condition Number

- Nonsquare  $m \times n$  matrix  $\boldsymbol{A}$  has no inverse in usual sense
- If rank(A) = n, *pseudoinverse* is defined by

$$\boldsymbol{A}^{+} = (\boldsymbol{A}^{T}\boldsymbol{A})^{-1}\boldsymbol{A}^{T}$$

and condition number by

 $\operatorname{cond}(\boldsymbol{A}) = \|\boldsymbol{A}\|_2 \cdot \|\boldsymbol{A}^+\|_2$ 

- By convention,  $\operatorname{cond}(A) = \infty$  if  $\operatorname{rank}(A) < n$
- Just as condition number of square matrix measures closeness to singularity, condition number of rectangular matrix measures closeness to rank deficiency
- Least squares solution of  $Ax \cong b$  is given by  $x = A^+ b$



Existence and Uniqueness Orthogonality Conditioning

# Sensitivity and Conditioning

- Sensitivity of least squares solution to  $Ax \cong b$  depends on b as well as A
- Define angle heta between  $m{b}$  and  $m{y} = m{A}m{x}$  by

$$\cos(\theta) = \frac{\|m{y}\|_2}{\|m{b}\|_2} = \frac{\|m{A}m{x}\|_2}{\|m{b}\|_2}$$

• Bound on perturbation  $\Delta x$  in solution x due to perturbation  $\Delta b$  in b is given by

$$\frac{\|\Delta \boldsymbol{x}\|_2}{\|\boldsymbol{x}\|_2} \leq \operatorname{cond}(\boldsymbol{A}) \frac{1}{\cos(\theta)} \frac{\|\Delta \boldsymbol{b}\|_2}{\|\boldsymbol{b}\|_2}$$

Existence and Uniqueness Orthogonality Conditioning

Sensitivity and Conditioning, contnued

• Similarly, for perturbation E in matrix A,

$$\frac{\|\Delta \boldsymbol{x}\|_2}{\|\boldsymbol{x}\|_2} \lessapprox \left( [\operatorname{cond}(\boldsymbol{A})]^2 \tan(\theta) + \operatorname{cond}(\boldsymbol{A}) \right) \frac{\|\boldsymbol{E}\|_2}{\|\boldsymbol{A}\|_2}$$

 Condition number of least squares solution is about cond(A) if residual is small, but can be squared or arbitrarily worse for large residual



Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods SVD

**Normal Equations Method** 

• If  $m \times n$  matrix A has rank n, then symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix  $A^T A$  is positive definite, so its Cholesky factorization

$$\boldsymbol{A}^T \boldsymbol{A} = \boldsymbol{L} \boldsymbol{L}^T$$

can be used to obtain solution x to system of normal equations

$$\boldsymbol{A}^T \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{A}^T \boldsymbol{b}$$

which has same solution as linear least squares problem  $Ax\cong b$ 

Normal equations method involves transformations


## Spoiler: Normal Equations *not* Recommended

- So far, our examples have used normal equations approach, as do the next examples.
- After the introduction, most of this chapter is devoted to better methods in which columns of A are first *orthogonalized*.
- Orthogonalization methods of choice:
  - Householder transformations (very stable)
  - Givens rotations
  - Gram-Schmidt
  - Modified Gram-Schmidt
- (stable; cheap if A is sparse)(better than normal eqns, but not great)(better than "classical" Gram-Schmidt)

**Normal Equations** Orthogonal Methods SVD

 $\cap$ 

## **Example: Normal Equations Method**

 For polynomial data-fitting example given previously, normal equations method gives

$$\boldsymbol{A}^{T}\boldsymbol{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1.0 & -0.5 & 0.0 & 0.5 & 1.0 \\ 1.0 & 0.25 & 0.0 & 0.25 & 1.0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1.0 & 1.0 \\ 1 & -0.5 & 0.25 \\ 1 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 1 & 0.5 & 0.25 \\ 1 & 1.0 & 1.0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 5.0 & 0.0 & 2.5 \\ 0.0 & 2.5 & 0.0 \\ 2.5 & 0.0 & 2.125 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\boldsymbol{A}^{T}\boldsymbol{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1.0 & -0.5 & 0.0 & 0.5 & 1.0 \\ 1.0 & 0.25 & 0.0 & 0.25 & 1.0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1.0 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.5 \\ 2.0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4.0 \\ 1.0 \\ 3.25 \end{bmatrix}$$
Michael Theorem

Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods SVD

# Example, continued

• Cholesky factorization of symmetric positive definite matrix  $A^T A$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{A}^{T}\boldsymbol{A} &= \begin{bmatrix} 5.0 & 0.0 & 2.5 \\ 0.0 & 2.5 & 0.0 \\ 2.5 & 0.0 & 2.125 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 2.236 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.581 & 0 \\ 1.118 & 0 & 0.935 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2.236 & 0 & 1.118 \\ 0 & 1.581 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.935 \end{bmatrix} = \boldsymbol{L}\boldsymbol{L}^{T} \end{aligned}$$

- Solving lower triangular system  $Lz = A^T b$  by forward-substitution gives  $z = \begin{bmatrix} 1.789 & 0.632 & 1.336 \end{bmatrix}^T$
- Solving upper triangular system  $L^T x = z$  by back-substitution gives  $x = \begin{bmatrix} 0.086 & 0.400 & 1.429 \end{bmatrix}^T$

Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods SVD

# Shortcomings of Normal Equations

- Information can be lost in forming  $A^T A$  and  $A^T b$
- For example, take

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $\epsilon$  is positive number smaller than  $\sqrt{\epsilon_{\text{mach}}}$ 

• Then in floating-point arithmetic

$$\boldsymbol{A}^{T}\boldsymbol{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1+\epsilon^{2} & 1\\ 1 & 1+\epsilon^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1\\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

which is singular

Sensitivity of solution is also worsened, since

$$\operatorname{cond}(\boldsymbol{A}^T\boldsymbol{A}) = [\operatorname{cond}(\boldsymbol{A})]^2$$

21/61

Avoid normal equations:

 $\Box A^{T}A \mathbf{x} = A^{T}\mathbf{b}$ 

Instead, orthogonalize columns of A

 $\Box Ax = QRx \approx b$ 

Columns of **Q** are orthonormal; **R** is upper triangular
 Since span(A)=span(Q), we get the same miminizer, **y**.

## Projection, QR Factorization, Gram-Schmidt

• Recall our linear least squares problem:

$$\mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{x} \approx \mathbf{b},$$

which is equivalent to minimization / orthogonal projection:

$$\mathbf{r} := \mathbf{b} - A \mathbf{x} \perp \mathcal{R}(A)$$

$$||\mathbf{r}||_2 = ||\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{y}||_2 \leq ||\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{v}||_2 \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{R}(A).$$

• This problem has solutions

$$\mathbf{x} = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T \mathbf{b}$$
$$\mathbf{y} = A (A^T A)^{-1} A^T \mathbf{b} = P \mathbf{b},$$

where  $P := A (A^T A)^{-1} A^T$  is the orthogonal projector onto  $\mathcal{R}(A)$ .

## Observations

$$(A^{T}A) \mathbf{x} = A^{T}\mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{1}^{T}\mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{a}_{2}^{T}\mathbf{b} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{a}_{n}^{T}\mathbf{b} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(A^T A) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1^T \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_1^T \mathbf{a}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_1^T \mathbf{a}_n \\ \mathbf{a}_2^T \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_2^T \mathbf{a}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_2^T \mathbf{a}_n \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ \mathbf{a}_n^T \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_n^T \mathbf{a}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_n^T \mathbf{a}_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

#### **Orthogonal Bases**

• If the columns of A were *orthogonal*, such that  $a_{ij} = \mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{a}_j = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ , then  $A^T A$  is a diagonal matrix,

$$(A^T A) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1^T \mathbf{a}_1 & & \\ & \mathbf{a}_2^T \mathbf{a}_2 & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & \mathbf{a}_n^T \mathbf{a}_n \end{pmatrix},$$

and the system is easily solved,

$$\mathbf{x} = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\mathbf{a}_1^T \mathbf{a}_1} & & \\ & \frac{1}{\mathbf{a}_2^T \mathbf{a}_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \frac{1}{\mathbf{a}_n^T \mathbf{a}_n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1^T \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{a}_2^T \mathbf{b} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{a}_n^T \mathbf{b} \end{pmatrix}.$$

• In this case, we can write the projection in closed form:

$$\mathbf{y} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j \, \mathbf{a}_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\mathbf{a}_j^T \mathbf{b}}{\mathbf{a}_j^T \mathbf{a}_j} \, \mathbf{a}_j \,. \tag{1}$$

• For *orthogonal* bases, (1) is the projection of **b** onto span{ $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_n$  }.

#### **Orthonormal Bases**

• If the columns are orthogonal and *normalized* such that  $||\mathbf{a}_j|| = 1$ , we then have  $\mathbf{a}_j^T \mathbf{a}_j = 1$ , or more generally

$$\mathbf{a}_{i}^{T}\mathbf{a}_{j} = \delta_{ij}, \text{ with } \delta_{ij} := \begin{cases} 1, \ i = j \\ 0, \ i \neq j \end{cases}$$
 the Kronecker delta,

• In this case,  $A^T A = I$  and the orthogonal projection is given by

$$\mathbf{y} = A A^T \mathbf{b} = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{a}_j (\mathbf{a}_j^T \mathbf{b}).$$

**Example:** Suppose our model fit is based on sine functions, sampled uniformly on  $[0, \pi]$ :

$$\phi_j(t) = \sqrt{2h} \sin j t_i, \quad t_i = i \cdot h, \quad i = 1, \dots, m; \quad h := \frac{\pi}{m+1}.$$

In this case,

$$A = (\phi_1(t_i) \ \phi_2(t_i) \ \cdots \ \phi_n(t_i)),$$
$$A^T A = I.$$

#### QR Factorization

- Generally, we don't *a priori* have orthonormal bases.
- We can construct them, however. The process is referred to as QR factorization.
- We seek factors Q and R such that QR = A with Q orthogonal (or, *unitary*, in the complex case).
- There are two cases of interest:



• Note that

$$A = Q \begin{bmatrix} R \\ O \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Q_1 & Q_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R \\ O \end{bmatrix} = Q_1 R.$$

- The columns of  $Q_1$  form an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{R}(A)$ .
- The columns of  $Q_2$  form an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp}$ .

## QR Factorization: Gram-Schmidt

- We'll look at three approaches to QR:
  - Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalization,
  - Householder Transformations, and
  - Givens Rotations
- We start with Gram-Schmidt which is most intuitive.
- We are interested in generating orthogonal subspaces that match the nested column spaces of A,

$$span\{ \mathbf{a}_{1} \} = span\{ \mathbf{q}_{1} \}$$
$$span\{ \mathbf{a}_{1}, \mathbf{a}_{2} \} = span\{ \mathbf{q}_{1}, \mathbf{q}_{2} \}$$
$$span\{ \mathbf{a}_{1}, \mathbf{a}_{2}, \mathbf{a}_{3} \} = span\{ \mathbf{q}_{1}, \mathbf{q}_{2}, \mathbf{q}_{3} \}$$
$$span\{ \mathbf{a}_{1}, \mathbf{a}_{2}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{n} \} = span\{ \mathbf{q}_{1}, \mathbf{q}_{2}, \dots, \mathbf{q}_{n} \}$$

#### QR Factorization: Gram-Schmidt

• It's clear that the conditions

 $span{ a_1 } = span{ q_1 }$  $span{ a_1, a_2 } = span{ q_1, q_2 }$  $span{ a_1, a_2, a_3 } = span{ q_1, q_2, q_3 }$  $span{ a_1, a_2, ..., a_n } = span{ q_1, q_2, ..., q_n }$ 

are equivalent to the equations

$$\mathbf{a}_{1} = \mathbf{q}_{1} r_{11}$$

$$\mathbf{a}_{2} = \mathbf{q}_{1} r_{12} + \mathbf{q}_{2} r_{22}$$

$$\mathbf{a}_{3} = \mathbf{q}_{1} r_{13} + \mathbf{q}_{2} r_{23} + \mathbf{q}_{3} r_{33}$$

$$\vdots = \vdots + \cdots$$

$$\mathbf{a}_{n} = \mathbf{q}_{1} r_{1n} + \mathbf{q}_{2} r_{2n} + \cdots + \mathbf{q}_{n} r_{nn}$$
i.e.,  $A = QR$ 

(For now, we drop the distinction between Q and  $Q_1$ , and focus only on the reduced QR problem.)

### **Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalization**

• The preceding relationship suggests the first algorithm.

Let 
$$Q_{j-1} := [\mathbf{q}_1 \ \mathbf{q}_2 \ \dots \mathbf{q}_{j-1}], \ P_{j-1} := Q_j \ Q_{j-1}^T, \ P_{\perp,j-1} := I - P_{j-1}.$$
  
for  $j = 2, \dots, n-1$   
 $\mathbf{v}_j = \mathbf{a}_j - P_{j-1} \ \mathbf{a}_j = (I - P_{j-1}) \ \mathbf{a}_j = P_{\perp,j-1} \ \mathbf{a}_j$   
 $\mathbf{q}_j = \frac{\mathbf{v}_j}{||\mathbf{v}_j||} = \frac{P_{\perp,j-1} \mathbf{a}_j}{||P_{\perp,j-1} \mathbf{a}_j||}$   
end

- This is *Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization*.
- Each new vector  $\mathbf{q}_j$  starts with  $\mathbf{a}_j$  and subtracts off the projection onto  $\mathcal{R}(Q_{j-1})$ , followed by normalization.

## **Classical Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalization**



$$P_{2}\underline{a}_{3} = Q_{2}Q_{2}^{T}\underline{a}_{3}$$

$$= \underline{q}_{1}\frac{\underline{q}_{1}^{T}\underline{a}_{3}}{\underline{q}_{1}^{T}\underline{q}_{1}} + \underline{q}_{2}\frac{\underline{q}_{2}^{T}\underline{a}_{3}}{\underline{q}_{2}^{T}\underline{q}_{2}}$$

$$= \underline{q}_{1}\underline{q}_{1}^{T}\underline{a}_{3} + \underline{q}_{2}\underline{q}_{2}^{T}\underline{a}_{3}$$

In general, if  $Q_k$  is an orthogonal matrix, then  $P_k = Q_k Q_k^T$  is an orthogonal projector onto  $R(Q_k)$ 

## Gram-Schmidt: Classical vs. Modified

- We take a closer look at the projection step,  $\mathbf{v}_j = \mathbf{a}_j P_{j-1} \mathbf{a}_j$ .
- The classical (unstable) GS projection is executed as

$$\mathbf{v}_{j} = \mathbf{a}_{j}$$
  
for  $k = 1, \dots, j - 1,$   
$$\mathbf{v}_{j} = \mathbf{v}_{j} - \mathbf{q}_{k} \left(\mathbf{q}_{k}^{T} \mathbf{a}_{j}\right)$$
  
end

• The modified GS projection is executed as

$$\mathbf{v}_{j} = \mathbf{a}_{j}$$
  
for  $k = 1, \dots, j - 1,$   
$$\mathbf{v}_{j} = \mathbf{v}_{j} - \mathbf{q}_{k} \left(\mathbf{q}_{k}^{T} \mathbf{v}_{j}\right)$$
  
end

#### Mathematical Difference Between CGS and MGS

- Let  $\tilde{P}_k$ , :=  $\mathbf{q}_k \mathbf{q}_k^T$  (This is an  $m \times m$  matrix of what rank?)
- The CGS projection step amounts to

$$\mathbf{v}_j = \mathbf{a}_j - \tilde{P}_1 \mathbf{a}_j - \tilde{P}_2 \mathbf{a}_j - \dots - \tilde{P}_{j-1} \mathbf{a}_j$$
$$= \mathbf{a}_j - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \tilde{P}_k \mathbf{a}_j.$$

• The MGS projection step is equivalent to

$$\mathbf{v}_{j} = \left(I - \tilde{P}_{j-1}\right) \left(I - \tilde{P}_{j-2}\right) \cdots \left(I - \tilde{P}_{1}\right) \mathbf{a}_{j}$$
$$= \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} \left(I - \tilde{P}_{k}\right) \mathbf{a}_{j}$$

Note:  $\tilde{P}_k \tilde{P}_j = 0$ , if  $k \neq j$ .

## Mathematical Difference Between CGS and MGS

- Lack of associativity in floating point arithmetic drives the difference between CGS and MGS.
- Conceptually, MGS projects the remaining residual rather than the original  $\mathbf{a}_j$ .
- As we shall see, neither GS nor MGS are as robust as Householder transformations.
- Both, however, can be cleaned up with a second-pass through the orthogonalization process. (Just set A = Q and repeat, once.)

MGS is an example of the idea that "small corrections are preferred to large ones:

Better to update  $\mathbf{v}$  by subtracting off the projection of  $\mathbf{v}$ , rather than the projection of  $\mathbf{a}$ .

Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods SVD

# Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalization

- Given vectors a<sub>1</sub> and a<sub>2</sub>, we seek orthonormal vectors q<sub>1</sub> and q<sub>2</sub> having same span
- This can be accomplished by subtracting from second vector its projection onto first vector and normalizing both resulting vectors, as shown in diagram



Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods SVD

# Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalization

 Process can be extended to any number of vectors a<sub>1</sub>,..., a<sub>k</sub>, orthogonalizing each successive vector against all preceding ones, giving *classical Gram-Schmidt* procedure

for k = 1 to n  $q_k = a_k$ for j = 1 to k - 1  $r_{jk} = q_j^T a_k$   $q_k = q_k - r_{jk}q_j$ end  $r_{kk} = ||q_k||_2$   $q_k = q_k/r_{kk}$ end

 $r_{jk} = \boldsymbol{q}_j^T \boldsymbol{a}_k$   $\boldsymbol{\leftarrow}$  Coefficient involves original  $\boldsymbol{a}_k$ 

• Resulting  $q_k$  and  $r_{jk}$  form reduced QR factorization of A



Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods SVD

# **Modified Gram-Schmidt**

- Classical Gram-Schmidt procedure often suffers loss of orthogonality in finite-precision
- Also, separate storage is required for A, Q, and R, since original  $a_k$  are needed in inner loop, so  $q_k$  cannot overwrite columns of A
- Both deficiencies are improved by *modified Gram-Schmidt* procedure, with each vector orthogonalized in turn against all *subsequent* vectors, so  $q_k$  can overwrite  $a_k$



**Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods** SVD

# Modified Gram-Schmidt QR Factorization

## Modified Gram-Schmidt algorithm

for 
$$k = 1$$
 to  $n$   
 $r_{kk} = ||a_k||_2$   
 $q_k = a_k/r_{kk}$   
for  $j = k + 1$  to  $n$   
 $r_{kj} = q_k^T a_j$   
 $a_j = a_j - r_{kj}q_k$   
end  
end

 $\leftarrow$  Coefficient involves modified  $\mathbf{a}_{i}$ 

## Matlab Demo: house.m



## **Gram-Schmidt Examples**

□ Here we consider a matrix that is not well-conditioned.

### Classical & Modified GS: Notes

```
%% Test several QR schemes
n=100; format compact; format shorte
A = rand(n,n); [Q,R]=qr(A);
for i=1:n; R(i,i)=R(i,i)/(1.2<sup>i</sup>); end;
A=Q*R; [Q,R]=qr(A);
for j=1:n-1; for i=j+2:n; A(i,j)=0; end;end; % Upper H
v=A; q=Q; a=A; % Classical GS
for j=1:n;
   for k=1:(j-1);
     v(:,j)=v(:,j)-q(:,k)*(q(:,k)'*a(:,j)); end;
   q(:,j)=v(:,j)/norm(v(:,j));
end;
qc=q;
v=A; q=Q; a=A; % Modified GS
for j=1:n;
   for k=1:(j-1);
     v(:,j)=v(:,j)-q(:,k)*(q(:,k)'*v(:,j)); end;
   q(:,j)=v(:,j)/norm(v(:,j));
end;
qm=q;
```

### Classical & Modified GS: Notes

```
v=A; g=Q; a=A; % Classical GS, text
for k=1:n;
   q(:,k)=a(:,k);
   for j=1:k-1; r(j,k)=q(:,j)'*a(:,k);
       q(:,k)=q(:,k)-r(j,k)*q(:,j); end;
   r(k,k)=norm(q(:,k));
   q(:,k) = q(:,k) / r(k,k);
end;
qct=q;
v=A; q=Q; a=A; % Modified GS, text
for k=1:n:
   r(k,k)=norm(a(:,k));
   q(:,k)=a(:,k) / r(k,k);
   for j=k+1:n; r(k,j)=q(:,k)'*a(:,j);
      a(:,i)=a(:,i)-r(k,i)*q(:,k); end;
end;
qmt=q;
```

### Householder Transformations: Notes

```
a=A; % Householder, per textbook
I=eye(n); QH=I;
for k=1:n;
   v=a(:,k); v(1:k-1)=0;
   alphak=-sign(a(k,k))*norm(v);
   v(k) = v(k) - alphak;
   betak=v'*v;
   for j=k:n; gammaj=v'*a(:,j);
      a(:,j)=a(:,j)-(2*gammaj/betak)*v; end;
   OH=OH-(2/betak)*v*(v'*OH);
end;
QH=QH'; qht=QH;
nq =norm(Q'*Q-eye(n));
nc =norm(qc'*qc-eye(n));
nm =norm(qm'*qm-eye(n));
nct=norm(qct'*qct-eye(n));
nmt=norm(qmt'*qmt-eye(n));
nht=norm(qht'*qht-eye(n));
[nc nct nm nmt nht ng]
```

5.9707e-05	5.9707e-05	6.4358e-10	6.4358e-10	2.2520e-15	2.1863e-15

ans =

Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods SVD

## Orthogonal Transformations

- We seek alternative method that avoids numerical difficulties of normal equations
- We need numerically robust transformation that produces easier problem without changing solution
- What kind of transformation leaves least squares solution unchanged?
- Square matrix Q is orthogonal if  $Q^T Q = I$
- Multiplication of vector by orthogonal matrix preserves Euclidean norm

$$\| \boldsymbol{Q} \boldsymbol{v} \|_{2}^{2} = (\boldsymbol{Q} \boldsymbol{v})^{T} \boldsymbol{Q} \boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{v}^{T} \boldsymbol{Q}^{T} \boldsymbol{Q} \boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{v}^{T} \boldsymbol{v} = \| \boldsymbol{v} \|_{2}^{2}$$

• Thus, multiplying both sides of least squares problem by orthogonal matrix does not change its solution

Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods SVD

# Triangular Least Squares Problems

- As with square linear systems, suitable target in simplifying least squares problems is triangular form
- Upper triangular overdetermined (m > n) least squares problem has form

$$egin{bmatrix} m{R} \ m{O} \end{bmatrix} m{x} \cong egin{bmatrix} m{b}_1 \ m{b}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where  ${\boldsymbol{R}}$  is  $n\times n$  upper triangular and  ${\boldsymbol{b}}$  is partitioned similarly

• Residual is

$$\|m{r}\|_2^2 = \|m{b}_1 - m{R}m{x}\|_2^2 + \|m{b}_2\|_2^2$$

Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods SVD

# Triangular Least Squares Problems, continued

• We have no control over second term,  $\|b_2\|_2^2$ , but first term becomes zero if x satisfies  $n \times n$  triangular system

$$Rx = b_1$$

which can be solved by back-substitution

Resulting x is least squares solution, and minimum sum of squares is

$$\|m{r}\|_2^2 = \|m{b}_2\|_2^2$$

 So our strategy is to transform general least squares problem to triangular form using orthogonal transformation so that least squares solution is preserved

Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods SVD

# **QR** Factorization

• Given  $m \times n$  matrix A, with m > n, we seek  $m \times m$  orthogonal matrix Q such that

$$oldsymbol{A} = oldsymbol{Q} egin{bmatrix} oldsymbol{R} \ oldsymbol{O} \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $\boldsymbol{R} \text{ is } n \times n$  and upper triangular

• Linear least squares problem  $Ax \cong b$  is then transformed into triangular least squares problem

$$oldsymbol{Q}^Toldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{x} = egin{bmatrix} oldsymbol{R} \ oldsymbol{O} \end{bmatrix} oldsymbol{x} \cong egin{bmatrix} oldsymbol{c}_1 \ oldsymbol{c}_2 \end{bmatrix} = oldsymbol{Q}^Toldsymbol{b}$$

which has same solution, since

$$\|m{r}\|_2^2 = \|m{b} - m{A}m{x}\|_2^2 = \|m{b} - m{Q}igg[m{R}\Oigg]m{x}\|_2^2 = \|m{Q}^Tm{b} - igg[m{R}\Oigg]m{x}\|_2^2$$

Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods SVD

# Orthogonal Bases

• If we partition  $m \times m$  orthogonal matrix  $Q = [Q_1 \ Q_2]$ , where  $Q_1$  is  $m \times n$ , then

$$oldsymbol{A} = oldsymbol{Q} egin{bmatrix} oldsymbol{R} \ oldsymbol{O} \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} oldsymbol{R}_1 oldsymbol{Q}_2 \end{bmatrix} egin{bmatrix} oldsymbol{R} \ oldsymbol{O} \end{bmatrix} = oldsymbol{Q}_1 oldsymbol{R}$$

is called *reduced* QR factorization of A

- Columns of  $Q_1$  are orthonormal basis for span(A), and columns of  $Q_2$  are orthonormal basis for span(A)<sup> $\perp$ </sup>
- $Q_1 Q_1^T$  is orthogonal projector onto span(A)
- Solution to least squares problem  $Ax \cong b$  is given by solution to square system

$$\boldsymbol{Q}_1^T \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x} = \left| \boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{c}_1 \right| = \boldsymbol{Q}_1^T \boldsymbol{b}$$

#### QR for Solving Least Squares

• Start with  $A\mathbf{x} \approx \mathbf{b}$ 

$$Q\begin{bmatrix} R\\ O\end{bmatrix}\mathbf{x} \approx \mathbf{b}$$
$$Q^{T}Q\begin{bmatrix} R\\ O\end{bmatrix}\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} R\\ O\end{bmatrix}\mathbf{x} \approx Q^{T}\mathbf{b} = [Q_{1}Q_{2}]\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}_{1}\\ \mathbf{c}_{2}\end{bmatrix}.$$

• Define the residual,  $\mathbf{r} := \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x}$ 

$$||\mathbf{r}|| = ||\mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x}||$$
  
=  $||Q^T (\mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x})||$   
=  $\left| \left| \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{c}_1 \\ \mathbf{c}_2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} R\mathbf{x} \\ O \end{pmatrix} \right|$   
=  $\left| \begin{vmatrix} (\mathbf{c}_1 - R\mathbf{x}) \\ \mathbf{c}_2 \end{vmatrix} \right|$ 

$$||\mathbf{r}||^2 = ||\mathbf{c}_1 - R\mathbf{x}||^2 + ||\mathbf{c}_2||^2$$

• Norm of residual is minimized when  $R\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{c}_1 = Q_1^T \mathbf{b}$ , and takes on value  $||\mathbf{r}|| = ||\mathbf{c}_2||$ .

#### QR Factorization and Least Squares Review

• Recall:  $A\mathbf{x} \approx \mathbf{b}$ .

$$A = QR \text{ or } A = [Q_l Q_r] \begin{bmatrix} R \\ O \end{bmatrix},$$

with  $\tilde{Q} := [Q_l \ Q_r]$  square.

- If  $\hat{Q}$  and  $\tilde{Q}$  are  $m \times m$  orthogonal matrices, then  $\hat{Q}\tilde{Q}$  is also orthogonal.
- Least squares problem: Find  $\mathbf{x}$  such that

$$\mathbf{r} := (QR\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}) \perp \operatorname{range}(A) \equiv \operatorname{range}(Q).$$
$$0 = Q^{T}\mathbf{r} = Q^{T}QR\mathbf{x} - Q^{T}\mathbf{b}$$
$$R\mathbf{x} = Q^{T}\mathbf{b}$$
$$\mathbf{x} = R^{-1}Q^{T}\mathbf{b}.$$

• Can solve least squares problem by finding QR = A.

- Compare with normal equation approach:

$$\mathbf{y} = A(A^T A)^{-1} A^T \mathbf{b}$$
  
= projection onto  $\mathcal{R}(A) \equiv \mathcal{R}(Q)$ .

- Here,  $QQ^T$  and  $A(A^TA)^{-1}A^T$  are both projectors.
- $QQ^T$  is generally better conditioned than the normal equation approach.

Here, Q is the "reduced Q" matrix.

Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods SVD

# **Computing QR Factorization**

- To compute QR factorization of  $m \times n$  matrix A, with m > n, we annihilate subdiagonal entries of successive columns of A, eventually reaching upper triangular form
- Similar to LU factorization by Gaussian elimination, but use orthogonal transformations instead of elementary elimination matrices
- Possible methods include
  - Householder transformations
  - Givens rotations
  - Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization



## Method 2: Householder Transformations

Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods SVD

# Householder Transformations

Householder transformation has form

$$\boldsymbol{H} = \boldsymbol{I} - 2 \frac{\boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v}^T}{\boldsymbol{v}^T \boldsymbol{v}}$$

for nonzero vector  $oldsymbol{v}$ 

- H is orthogonal and symmetric:  $H = H^T = H^{-1}$
- Given vector a, we want to choose v so that

$$\boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{a} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \alpha \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \alpha \boldsymbol{e}_1$$

• Substituting into formula for *H*, we can take

 $\boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{a} - \alpha \boldsymbol{e}_1$ 

and  $\alpha = \pm \|\boldsymbol{a}\|_2$ , with sign chosen to avoid cancellation


#### **Householder Reflection**



Recall,  $I - \underline{v}(\underline{v}^T \underline{v})^{-1} \underline{v}^T$  is a projector onto  $R^{\perp}(\underline{v})$ .

Therefore,  $I - 2\underline{v}(\underline{v}^T \underline{v})^{-1} \underline{v}^T$  will reflect the transformed vector past  $R^{\perp}(\underline{v})$ .

With Householder, choose  $\underline{v}$  such that the reflected vector has all entries below the *k*th one set to zero.

Also, choose  $\underline{v}$  to avoid cancellation in kth component.

### Householder Derivation

$$H\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a} - 2\frac{\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{v}} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{a} - \alpha \mathbf{e}_1 \leftarrow$  Choose  $\alpha$  to avoid cancellation.

$$\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{a} - \alpha a_1, \qquad \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{a} - 2\alpha a_1 + \alpha^2$$

$$H\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a} - 2\frac{\left(\mathbf{a}^{T}\mathbf{a} - \alpha a_{1}\right)}{\mathbf{a}^{T}\mathbf{a} - 2\alpha a_{1} + \alpha^{2}} \left(\mathbf{a} - \alpha \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)$$
$$= \mathbf{a} - 2\frac{||\mathbf{a}||^{2} \pm ||\mathbf{a}||a_{1}}{2||\mathbf{a}||^{2} \pm 2||\mathbf{a}||a_{1}} \left(\mathbf{a} - \alpha \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)$$
$$= \mathbf{a} - \left(\mathbf{a} - \alpha \mathbf{e}_{1}\right) = \alpha \mathbf{e}_{1}.$$

Choose 
$$\alpha = -\operatorname{sign}(a_1)||\mathbf{a}|| = -\left(\frac{a_1}{|a_1|}\right)||\mathbf{a}||.$$

Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods SVD

### **Example: Householder Transformation**

If 
$$\boldsymbol{a} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}^T$$
, then we take  
 $\boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{a} - \alpha \boldsymbol{e}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} - \alpha \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

where  $\alpha = \pm \|\boldsymbol{a}\|_2 = \pm 3$ 

- Since  $a_1$  is positive, we choose negative sign for  $\alpha$  to avoid cancellation, so  $\boldsymbol{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 2\\1\\2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -3\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5\\1\\2 \end{bmatrix}$
- To confirm that transformation works,

$$\boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{a} = \boldsymbol{a} - 2\frac{\boldsymbol{v}^{T}\boldsymbol{a}}{\boldsymbol{v}^{T}\boldsymbol{v}}\boldsymbol{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 2\\1\\2 \end{bmatrix} - 2\frac{15}{30}\begin{bmatrix} 5\\1\\2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -3\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods SVD

### Householder QR Factorization

- To compute QR factorization of *A*, use Householder transformations to annihilate subdiagonal entries of each successive column
- Each Householder transformation is applied to entire matrix, but does not affect prior columns, so zeros are preserved
- In applying Householder transformation *H* to arbitrary vector *u*,

$$\boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{u} = \left(\boldsymbol{I} - 2\frac{\boldsymbol{v}\boldsymbol{v}^T}{\boldsymbol{v}^T\boldsymbol{v}}\right)\boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{u} - \left(2\frac{\boldsymbol{v}^T\boldsymbol{u}}{\boldsymbol{v}^T\boldsymbol{v}}\right)\boldsymbol{v}$$

which is much cheaper than general matrix-vector multiplication and requires only vector v, not full matrix H



Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods SVD

### Householder QR Factorization, continued

Process just described produces factorization

$$oldsymbol{H}_n\cdotsoldsymbol{H}_1oldsymbol{A}=egin{bmatrix}oldsymbol{R}\oldsymbol{O}\end{bmatrix}$$

where  $\boldsymbol{R}$  is  $n\times n$  and upper triangular

• If 
$$oldsymbol{Q} = oldsymbol{H}_1 \cdots oldsymbol{H}_n$$
, then  $oldsymbol{A} = oldsymbol{Q} egin{bmatrix} oldsymbol{R} \ oldsymbol{O} \end{bmatrix}$ 

- To preserve solution of linear least squares problem, right-hand side b is transformed by same sequence of Householder transformations
- Then solve triangular least squares problem  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ n \end{bmatrix}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} m{k} \\ m{k} \end{bmatrix} m{k} \cong m{Q}^T m{b}$$

Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods SVD

### Householder QR Factorization, continued

- For solving linear least squares problem, product Q of Householder transformations need not be formed explicitly
- *R* can be stored in upper triangle of array initially containing *A*
- Householder vectors v can be stored in (now zero) lower triangular portion of A (almost)
- Householder transformations most easily applied in this form anyway



Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods SVD

## **Example: Householder QR Factorization**

• For polynomial data-fitting example given previously, with

$$oldsymbol{A} = egin{bmatrix} 1 & -1.0 & 1.0 \ 1 & -0.5 & 0.25 \ 1 & 0.0 & 0.0 \ 1 & 0.5 & 0.25 \ 1 & 1.0 & 1.0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad oldsymbol{b} = egin{bmatrix} 1.0 \ 0.5 \ 0.0 \ 0.5 \ 2.0 \end{bmatrix}$$

• Householder vector  $v_1$  for annihilating subdiagonal entries of first column of A is

$$\boldsymbol{v}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\1\\1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} -2.236\\0\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3.236\\1\\1\\1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods SVD

### Example, continued

 Applying resulting Householder transformation H<sub>1</sub> yields transformed matrix and right-hand side

	-2.236	0	-1.118			[-1.789]
	0	-0.191	-0.405			-0.362
$H_1A =$	0	0.309	-0.655	,	$H_1b =$	-0.862
	0	0.809	-0.405			-0.362
	0	1.309	0.345			1.138

• Householder vector  $v_2$  for annihilating subdiagonal entries of second column of  $H_1A$  is

$$\boldsymbol{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ -0.191\\ 0.309\\ 0.809\\ 1.309 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ 1.581\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ -1.772\\ 0.309\\ 0.809\\ 1.309 \end{bmatrix}$$

### Example, continued

• Applying resulting Householder transformation  $H_2$  yields

	-2.236	0	-1.118		[-1.789]
	0	1.581	0		0.632
$oldsymbol{H}_2oldsymbol{H}_1oldsymbol{A} =$	0	0	-0.725	$,  oldsymbol{H}_2oldsymbol{H}_1oldsymbol{b} =$	-1.035
	0	0	-0.589		-0.816
	0	0	0.047		0.404

• Householder vector  $v_3$  for annihilating subdiagonal entries of third column of  $H_2H_1A$  is

$$\boldsymbol{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\-0.725\\-0.589\\0.047 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\0.935\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\-1.660\\-0.589\\0.047 \end{bmatrix}$$

Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods SVD

### Example, continued

• Applying resulting Householder transformation  $H_3$  yields

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{3}\boldsymbol{H}_{2}\boldsymbol{H}_{1}\boldsymbol{A} = \begin{bmatrix} -2.236 & 0 & -1.118 \\ 0 & 1.581 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.935 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{H}_{3}\boldsymbol{H}_{2}\boldsymbol{H}_{1}\boldsymbol{b} = \begin{bmatrix} -1.789 \\ 0.632 \\ 1.336 \\ 0.026 \\ 0.337 \end{bmatrix}$$

• Now solve upper triangular system  $Rx = c_1$  by back-substitution to obtain  $x = \begin{bmatrix} 0.086 & 0.400 & 1.429 \end{bmatrix}^T$ 





Note:  $H_k \underline{a}_j = \underline{a}_j$  for j < k.

#### Householder Transformations

$$H_{1} A = \begin{pmatrix} x & x & x \\ x & x \\ x & x \end{pmatrix}, \qquad H_{1} \mathbf{b} \longrightarrow \mathbf{b}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ x \\ x \\ x \end{pmatrix}$$
$$H_{2} H_{1} A = \begin{pmatrix} x & x & x \\ x & x \\ x \\ x \end{pmatrix}, \qquad H_{2} \mathbf{b}^{(1)} \longrightarrow \mathbf{b}^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ x \\ x \\ x \end{pmatrix}$$
$$H_{3} H_{2} H_{1} A = \begin{pmatrix} x & x & x \\ x & x \\ x \\ x \end{pmatrix}, \qquad H_{3} \mathbf{b}^{(2)} \longrightarrow \mathbf{b}^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{c}_{1} \\ \mathbf{c}_{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Questions: How does  $H_3 H_2 H_1$  relate to Q or  $Q_1$ ??

What is Q in this case?

### Method 3: Givens Rotations

Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods SVD

## **Givens Rotations**

- Givens rotations introduce zeros one at a time
- Given vector  $\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \end{bmatrix}^T$ , choose scalars c and s so that

$$\begin{bmatrix} c & s \\ -s & c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

with  $c^2 + s^2 = 1$ , or equivalently,  $\alpha = \sqrt{a_1^2 + a_2^2}$ 

• Previous equation can be rewritten

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_2 & -a_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c \\ s \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

• Gaussian elimination yields triangular system

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ 0 & -a_1 - a_2^2/a_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c \\ s \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ -\alpha a_2/a_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods SVD

### Givens Rotations, continued

Back-substitution then gives

$$s = rac{lpha a_2}{a_1^2 + a_2^2}$$
 and  $c = rac{lpha a_1}{a_1^2 + a_2^2}$ 

• Finally, 
$$c^2 + s^2 = 1$$
, or  $\alpha = \sqrt{a_1^2 + a_2^2}$ , implies

$$c = rac{a_1}{\sqrt{a_1^2 + a_2^2}}$$
 and  $s = rac{a_2}{\sqrt{a_1^2 + a_2^2}}$ 

### 2 x 2 Rotation Matrices

```
% Rotation Matrix Demo
X = [0 \ 1 \ ; \dots \ \% \ [ \ x \ 0 \ x \ 1]
   0 2]; % y0 y1 ]
hold off
X 0 = X;
for t=0:.2:3;
  c=cos(t); s=sin(t);
  R = [C s; -s c];
  X = R * X 0;
  x=X(1,:); y=X(2,:);
  plot(x,y,'r.-');
  axis equal; axis ([-3 3 -3 3])
  hold on
  pause(.3)
end;
```



Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods SVD

### **Example:** Givens Rotation

• Let  $\boldsymbol{a} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}^T$ 

• To annihilate second entry we compute cosine and sine

$$c = \frac{a_1}{\sqrt{a_1^2 + a_2^2}} = \frac{4}{5} = 0.8 \quad \text{and} \quad s = \frac{a_2}{\sqrt{a_1^2 + a_2^2}} = \frac{3}{5} = 0.6$$

• Rotation is then given by

$$\boldsymbol{G} = \begin{bmatrix} c & s \\ -s & c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8 & 0.6 \\ -0.6 & 0.8 \end{bmatrix}$$

• To confirm that rotation works,

$$\boldsymbol{Ga} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8 & 0.6\\ -0.6 & 0.8 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4\\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods SVD

# **Givens QR Factorization**

 More generally, to annihilate selected component of vector in n dimensions, rotate target component with another component

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c & 0 & s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -s & 0 & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \\ a_4 \\ a_5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \alpha \\ a_3 \\ 0 \\ a_5 \end{bmatrix}$$

- By systematically annihilating successive entries, we can reduce matrix to upper triangular form using sequence of Givens rotations
- Each rotation is orthogonal, so their product is orthogonal, producing QR factorization



#### **Givens Rotations**



- If G is a  $2 \times 2$  block,  $G_k$  Selectively acts on two adjacent rows.
- The *full* rows.

Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods SVD

## **Givens QR Factorization**

- Straightforward implementation of Givens method requires about 50% more work than Householder method, and also requires more storage, since each rotation requires two numbers, c and s, to define it
- These disadvantages can be overcome, but requires more complicated implementation
- Givens can be advantageous for computing QR factorization when many entries of matrix are already zero, since those annihilations can then be skipped



### Givens QR

A particularly attractive use of Givens QR is when A is upper Hessenberg – A is upper triangular with one additional nonzero diagonal below the main one: A<sub>ij</sub> = 0 if i > j+1

							•	•	•	•	•	•	•
0.1967	0.2973	0.0899	0.3381	0.5261	0.3965	0.1279							
0.0934	0.0620	0.0809	0.2940	0.7297	0.0616	0.5495	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
0	0.2982	0.7772	0.7463	0.7073	0.7802	0.4852		•	•	•	•	•	•
0	0	0.9051	0.0103	0.7814	0.3376	0.8905							
0	0	0	0.0484	0.2880	0.6079	0.7990							
0	0	0	0	0.6925	0.7413	0.7343				•	•	•	•
0	0	0	0	0	0.1048	0.0513					•	•	•

- In this case, we require Givens row operations applied only n times, instead of O(n<sup>2</sup>) times.
- □ Work for Givens is thus  $O(n^2)$ , vs.  $O(n^3)$  for Householder.
- Upper Hessenberg matrices arise when computing eigenvalues.

#### Successive Givens Rotations

As with Householder transformations, we apply successive Givens rotations,  $G_1, G_2$ , etc.

• How many Givens rotations (total) are required for the  $m \times n$  case?

- How does  $\ldots G_3 G_2 G_1$  relate to Q or  $Q_1$ ?
- What is Q in this case?

Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods SVD

### Rank Deficiency

- If rank(A) < n, then QR factorization still exists, but yields singular upper triangular factor R, and multiple vectors x give minimum residual norm
- Common practice selects minimum residual solution x having smallest norm
- Can be computed by QR factorization with column pivoting or by singular value decomposition (SVD)
- Rank of matrix is often not clear cut in practice, so relative tolerance is used to determine rank

Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods SVD

## Example: Near Rank Deficiency

• Consider  $3 \times 2$  matrix

	0.641	0.242
A =	0.321	0.121
	0.962	0.363

Computing QR factorization,

$$\boldsymbol{R} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.1997 & 0.4527 \\ 0 & 0.0002 \end{bmatrix}$$

- *R* is extremely close to singular (exactly singular to 3-digit accuracy of problem statement)
- If R is used to solve linear least squares problem, result is highly sensitive to perturbations in right-hand side
- For practical purposes, rank(A) = 1 rather than 2, because columns are nearly linearly dependent



Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods SVD

# QR with Column Pivoting

- Instead of processing columns in natural order, select for reduction at each stage column of remaining unreduced submatrix having maximum Euclidean norm
- If rank(A) = k < n, then after k steps, norms of remaining unreduced columns will be zero (or "negligible" in finite-precision arithmetic) below row k
- Yields orthogonal factorization of form

$$oldsymbol{Q}^Toldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{P} = egin{bmatrix} oldsymbol{R} & oldsymbol{S} \ oldsymbol{O} & oldsymbol{O} \end{bmatrix}$$

where R is  $k \times k$ , upper triangular, and nonsingular, and permutation matrix P performs column interchanges



Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods SVD

### QR with Column Pivoting, continued

• Basic solution to least squares problem  $Ax \cong b$  can now be computed by solving triangular system  $Rz = c_1$ , where  $c_1$  contains first k components of  $Q^T b$ , and then taking

$$oldsymbol{x} = oldsymbol{P} egin{bmatrix} oldsymbol{z} \ oldsymbol{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Minimum-norm solution can be computed, if desired, at expense of additional processing to annihilate S
- rank(A) is usually unknown, so rank is determined by monitoring norms of remaining unreduced columns and terminating factorization when maximum value falls below chosen tolerance



Normal Equations **Orthogonal Methods** SVD

### Comparison of Methods

- Forming normal equations matrix  $A^T A$  requires about  $n^2m/2$  multiplications, and solving resulting symmetric linear system requires about  $n^3/6$  multiplications
- Solving least squares problem using Householder QR factorization requires about  $mn^2 - n^3/3$  multiplications
- If  $m \approx n$ , both methods require about same amount of work
- If  $m \gg n$ , Householder QR requires about twice as much work as normal equations
- Cost of SVD is proportional to  $mn^2 + n^3$ , with proportionality constant ranging from 4 to 10, depending on algorithm used



Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods SVD

# Comparison of Methods, continued

- Normal equations method produces solution whose relative error is proportional to  $[cond(A)]^2$
- Required Cholesky factorization can be expected to break down if  $cond(A) \approx 1/\sqrt{\epsilon_{mach}}$  or worse
- Householder method produces solution whose relative error is proportional to

 $\operatorname{cond}(\boldsymbol{A}) + \|\boldsymbol{r}\|_2 \, [\operatorname{cond}(\boldsymbol{A})]^2$ 

which is best possible, since this is inherent sensitivity of solution to least squares problem

• Householder method can be expected to break down (in back-substitution phase) only if  $cond(A) \approx 1/\epsilon_{mach}$  or worse



Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods SVD

# Comparison of Methods, continued

- Householder is more accurate and more broadly applicable than normal equations
- These advantages may not be worth additional cost, however, when problem is sufficiently well conditioned that normal equations provide sufficient accuracy
- For rank-deficient or nearly rank-deficient problems, Householder with column pivoting can produce useful solution when normal equations method fails outright
- SVD is even more robust and reliable than Householder, but substantially more expensive



Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods SVD

Singular Value Decomposition

• Singular value decomposition (SVD) of  $m \times n$  matrix  ${\boldsymbol A}$  has form

$$A = U\Sigma V^T$$

where U is  $m \times m$  orthogonal matrix, V is  $n \times n$ orthogonal matrix, and  $\Sigma$  is  $m \times n$  diagonal matrix, with

$$\sigma_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i \neq j \\ \sigma_i \ge 0 & \text{for } i = j \end{cases}$$

- Diagonal entries  $\sigma_i$ , called *singular values* of A, are usually ordered so that  $\sigma_1 \ge \sigma_2 \ge \cdots \ge \sigma_n$
- Columns u<sub>i</sub> of U and v<sub>i</sub> of V are called left and right singular vectors



### SVD of Rectangular Matrix A



- $A = U\Sigma V^T$  is  $m \times n$ .
- U is  $m \times m$ , orthogonal.
- $\Sigma$  is  $m \times n$ , diagonal,  $\sigma_i > 0$ .
- V is  $n \times n$ , orthogonal.

Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods SVD

# Example: SVD

• SVD of 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \\ 10 & 11 & 12 \end{bmatrix}$$
 is given by  $U\Sigma V^T =$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix} .141 & .825 & -.420 & -.351 \\ .344 & .426 & .298 & .782 \\ .547 & .0278 & .664 & -.509 \\ .750 & -.371 & -.542 & .0790 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 25.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.29 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} .504 & .574 & .644 \\ -.761 & -.057 & .646 \\ .408 & -.816 & .408 \end{bmatrix}$$

In square matrix case, U  $\varSigma$  V<sup>T</sup> closely related to eigenpair, X  $\varLambda$  X<sup>-1</sup>



Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods SVD

### Applications of SVD

• *Minimum norm solution* to  $Ax \cong b$  is given by

$$oldsymbol{x} = \sum_{\sigma_i 
eq 0} rac{oldsymbol{u}_i^T oldsymbol{b}}{\sigma_i} oldsymbol{v}_i$$

For ill-conditioned or rank deficient problems, "small" singular values can be omitted from summation to stabilize solution

- Euclidean matrix norm:  $\|A\|_2 = \sigma_{\max}$
- Euclidean condition number of matrix:  $\operatorname{cond}(A) = \frac{\sigma_{\max}}{\sigma_{\min}}$
- *Rank of matrix*: number of nonzero singular values



SVD for Linear Least Squares Problem:  $A = U\Sigma V^T$ 

$$A\underline{x} \approx \underline{b}$$

$$U\Sigma V^{T} \approx \underline{b}$$

$$U^{T}U\Sigma V^{T} \approx U^{T}\underline{b}$$

$$\Sigma V^{T} \approx U^{T}\underline{b}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{R} \\ O \end{bmatrix} \underline{x} \approx \begin{pmatrix} \underline{c}_{1} \\ \underline{c}_{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\tilde{R}\underline{x} = \underline{c}_{1}$$

$$\underline{x} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \underline{v}_{j} \frac{1}{\sigma_{j}} (\underline{c}_{1})_{j} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \underline{v}_{j} \frac{1}{\sigma_{j}} \underline{u}_{j}^{T}\underline{b}$$

### SVD for Linear Least Squares Problem: $A = U\Sigma V^T$

- SVD can also handle the rank deficient case.
- If there are only k singular values  $\sigma_j > \epsilon$  then take only the first k contributions.

$$\underline{x} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \underline{v}_j \frac{1}{\sigma_j} \underline{u}_j^T \underline{b}$$
# Pseudoinverse

- Define pseudoinverse of scalar  $\sigma$  to be  $1/\sigma$  if  $\sigma \neq 0,$  zero otherwise
- Define pseudoinverse of (possibly rectangular) diagonal matrix by transposing and taking scalar pseudoinverse of each entry
- Then *pseudoinverse* of general real  $m \times n$  matrix  $\boldsymbol{A}$  is given by

 $A^+ = V \Sigma^+ U^T$ 

- Pseudoinverse always exists whether or not matrix is square or has full rank
- If A is square and nonsingular, then  $A^+ = A^{-1}$
- In all cases, minimum-norm solution to  $Ax \cong b$  is given by  $x = A^+ b$

# **Orthogonal Bases**

- SVD of matrix,  $A = U\Sigma V^T$ , provides orthogonal bases for subspaces relevant to A
- Columns of U corresponding to nonzero singular values form orthonormal basis for span(A)
- Remaining columns of U form orthonormal basis for orthogonal complement span $(A)^{\perp}$
- Columns of V corresponding to zero singular values form orthonormal basis for null space of A
- Remaining columns of V form orthonormal basis for orthogonal complement of null space of A

Least Squares Data Fitting Existence, Uniqueness, and Conditioning Solving Linear Least Squares Problems Normal Equations Orthogonal Methods SVD

# Lower-Rank Matrix Approximation

Another way to write SVD is

$$oldsymbol{A} = oldsymbol{U} oldsymbol{\Sigma} oldsymbol{V}^T = \sigma_1 oldsymbol{E}_1 + \sigma_2 oldsymbol{E}_2 + \dots + \sigma_n oldsymbol{E}_n$$

with  $oldsymbol{E}_i = oldsymbol{u}_i oldsymbol{v}_i^T$ 

- $E_i$  has rank 1 and can be stored using only m + n storage locations
- Product  $E_i x$  can be computed using only m + n multiplications
- Condensed approximation to A is obtained by omitting from summation terms corresponding to small singular values
- Approximation using k largest singular values is closest matrix of rank k to A
- Approximation is useful in image processing, data compression, information retrieval, cryptography, etc.



### Low Rank Approximation to $A = U\Sigma V^T$

• Because of the diagonal form of  $\Sigma$ , we have

$$A = U\Sigma V^T = \sum_{j=1}^n \underline{u}_j \sigma_j \underline{v}_j^T$$

• A rank k approximation to A is given by

$$A \approx A_k := \sum_{j=1}^k \underline{u}_j \sigma_j \underline{v}_j^T$$

•  $A_k$  is the best approximation to A in the Frobenius norm,

$$||M||_F := \sqrt{m_{11}^2 + m_{21}^2 + \dots + m_{mn}^2}$$

#### SVD for Image Compression

- □ If we view an image as an m x n matrix, we can use the SVD to generate a low-rank compressed version.
- □ Full image storage cost scales as O(mn)
- **Compress image storage scales as** O(km) + O(kn), with k < m or n.



$$A \approx A_k := \sum_{j=1}^k \underline{u}_j \sigma_j \underline{v}_j^T$$

#### Image Compression

- □ If we view an image as an m x n matrix, we can use the SVD to generate a low-rank compressed version.
- □ Full image storage cost scales as O(mn)
- **Compress image storage scales as** O(km) + O(kn), with k < m or n.



$$A \approx A_k := \sum_{j=1}^k \underline{u}_j \sigma_j \underline{v}_j^T$$

k=1

#### Image Compression

- □ If we view an image as an m x n matrix, we can use the SVD to generate a low-rank compressed version.
- □ Full image storage cost scales as O(mn)
- **Compress image storage scales as** O(km) + O(kn), with k < m or n.



#### Matlab code

```
[X,A]=imread('collins_img.gif'); [m,n]=size(X);
Xo=X; imwrite(Xo, 'oldfile.png')
whos
X=double(X); [U,D,V] = svd(X); % COMPUTE SVD
X = 0 * X;
for k=1:min(m,n); k
    X = X + U(:,k) * D(k,k) * V(:,k)';
    Xi = uint8(X); imwrite(Xi, 'newfile.png'); spy(Xi>100);
    pause
```

end;

#### Image Compression

#### Compressed image storage scales as O(km) + O(kn), with k < m or n. k=1 k=2 k=3









k=20



k=50

(m=536, n=462)

k=10

Low-Rank Approximations to Solutions of Ax = b

If 
$$\sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2 \leq \cdots \leq \sigma_n$$
,  
 $\underline{x} \approx \sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_j^+ \underline{v}_j \underline{u}_j^T \underline{b}$ 

Other functions, aside from the inverse of the matrix, can also be approximated in this way, at relatively low cost, once the SVD is known.