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- HU13 (due Wed)
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Dec 14 )
-Final $\quad f=1: w_{1}+w_{2}+\cdots+w_{n}=b-a$
$p\left(c_{1}=x: \omega_{1} x_{1}+\omega_{2} x_{2}+\cdots+w_{n} x_{n}=\frac{b^{7}}{2}-\frac{g^{2}}{2}\right.$

midpoint Newton-Cotes quadrules
- tmperoidel
- Simpsoismh

odd \# prints integrate an extern dogie


## Quadrature: Overview of Rules

|  |  | $n$ | Deg. | Ex.Int.Deg. (w/odd) | Intp.Ord. | Quad.Ord. (regular) | Quad.Ord. <br> (w/odd) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $n-1$ | $(n-1)+1_{\text {odd }}$ | $n$ | $n+1$ | $(n+1)+1_{\text {odd }}$ |
| $\rightarrow$ | Midp. | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|  | Trapz. | $\underline{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
|  | Simps. | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|  | S. $3 / 8$ | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 |

- $n$ : number of points

$$
C E(n)=O\left(h^{\circ}\right)
$$

- "Deg.": Degree of polynomial used in interpolation ( $=n-1$ )
- "Ex.Int.Deg.": Polynomials of up to (and including) this degree actually get integrated exactly. (including the odd-order bump)
- "Intp.Ord.": Order of Accuracy of Interpolation: $O\left(h^{n}\right)$
- "Quad.Ord. (regular)": Order of accuracy for quadrature predicted by the error result above: $O\left(h^{n+1}\right)$
- "Quad.Ord. (w/odd):" Actual order of accuracy for quadrature given 'bonus' degrees for rules with odd point count
Observation: Quadrature gets (at least) 'one order higher' than interpolation-even more for odd-order rules. (i.e. more accurate)

Interpolatory Quadrature: Stability
Let $p_{n}$ be an interpolant of $f$ at nodes $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ (of degree $n-1$ )
Recall

$$
\sum_{i} \omega_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right)=\int_{a}^{b} p_{n}(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

What can you say about the stability of this method?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{f}(n)=f(x)+e(t) \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right)-\sum w_{i} \hat{f}\left(x_{i}\right)\left|\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\right| w_{i} e\left(x_{i}\right)\left|\leq\|e\|_{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\right| w_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

So, what quadrature weights make for bad stability bounds?

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i}=b-a \quad \text { weights } J / \text { oscillating signs bad. }
$$

## About Newton-Cotes

What's not to like about Newton-Cotes quadrature?
Demo: Newton-Cotes weight finder [cleared] (again, with many nodes)


Gaussian Quadrature

So far: nodes chosen from outside.
Can we gain something if we let the quadrature rule choose the nodes, too? Hope: More design freedom $\rightarrow$ Exact to higher degree.

I dea: still method of under. coifs
But: 'nodes also unknown' ${ }^{\text {n }}$
$\rightarrow$ analytically sol rabble
solution for nodes is "roots of (e gan Ne polys'
Demo: Gaussian quadrature weight finder [cleared]

## Composite Quadrature

High-order polynomial interpolation requires a high degree of smoothness of the function.
Idea: Stitch together multiple lower-order quadrature rules to alleviate smoothness requirement.
e.g. trapezoidal


Error in Composite Quadrature

$$
a_{i+1}-a_{i}=h
$$

What can we say about the error in the case of composite quadrature?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Error for single inter val: }\left|\int_{a_{i}}^{a_{i+1}} f \cdot P_{n-1} d x\right| \leq C \cdot h^{h i 1} \cdot\left\|f^{(h)}\right\| \|_{0} \\
& \left|\int_{h}^{h} f(x) d r-\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i, i} f\left(x_{i, j}\right)\right| \leq C\left\|f^{(n)}\right\|_{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \underbrace{\left(a_{j}-a_{j-1}\right)}_{h})^{n+1} \\
& \leq C \cdot\left\|\rho^{h_{1}}\right\|_{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \underbrace{\left(a_{j}-a_{j-1}\right)^{n}}_{\varepsilon h^{n}}\left(a_{j}-a_{j-1}\right) \leqslant C \cdot\left\|\rho^{a n}\right\|_{\infty} h^{n}\left(b_{-a}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Composite Quadrature: Notes

Observation: Composite quadrature loses an order compared to non-composite.

Idea: If we can estimate errors on each subinterval, we can shrink (e.g. by splitting in half) only those contributing the most to the error. (adaptivity, $\rightarrow \mathrm{hw}$ )


Taking Derivatives Numerically
Why shouldn't you take derivatives numerically?


$$
f^{\prime}(x)=\frac{f(x+l \mid-f(x)}{h}
$$

- Cancellation
- Susceptible. to noise
- $\partial_{x}$ is an unbounded opordor
$\|\rho\|_{\infty} \varepsilon \mid \quad \Rightarrow \quad\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}$ bound $e^{i \alpha x} \quad\left(e^{i \alpha x}\right)^{\prime}=(i \alpha) e^{i \alpha r}$

Numerical Differentiation: How?
How can we take derivatives numerically?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(\xi) \approx p_{n-1}|\xi|=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} p_{i}(\xi) \\
& f^{\prime}(\xi) \approx p_{n-1}^{\prime}|\xi|=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} p_{i}^{\prime}(\xi)
\end{aligned}
$$

Demo: Taking Derivatives with Vandermonde Matrices [cleared] (Basics)

Numerical Differentiation: Accuracy
How accurate is numerical differentiation (with a polynomial basis)?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)-p_{n-1}(x)=\frac{\left.f^{n n} \mid \xi\right)}{n!} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x-x_{i}\right) \\
& f^{\prime}(x)-p_{n-1}^{\prime}(x) \approx \frac{\left.f^{(n)} \mid \xi\right)}{n!} \overbrace{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x-x_{i}\right)\right)^{\prime}}^{s h^{n-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Demo: Taking Derivatives with Vandermonde Matrices [cleared] (Accuracy)

## Differentiation Matrices

How can numerical differentiation be cast as a matrix-vector operation?
$\square$
Demo: Taking Derivatives with Vandermonde Matrices [cleared] (Build D)

