



$$\left. \begin{array}{l}
 \alpha_1 \varphi_1(x_1) + \dots + \alpha_n \varphi_n(x_1) = y_1 \\
 \vdots \\
 \alpha_1 \varphi_1(x_n) + \dots + \alpha_n \varphi_n(x_n) = y_n
 \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l}
 \vec{\alpha} = \vec{y} \\
 |
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
 \varphi_1(x_1) & \varphi_2(x_1) & \dots \\
 \varphi_1(x_2) & & \\
 \vdots & & 
 \end{pmatrix}$$

## Modes and Nodes (aka Functions and Points)

Both function basis and point set are under our control. What do we pick?

Ideas for functions:

- Monomials  $1, x, x^2, x^3, x^4, \dots$  ✓
- Functions that make  $V = I \rightarrow$  'Lagrange basis'
- Functions that make  $V$  triangular  $\rightarrow$  'Newton basis'
- **Splines** (piecewise polynomials)
- **Orthogonal polynomials**
- Sines and cosines
- 'Bumps' ('**Radial Basis Functions**')

Ideas for points:

- Equispaced ✓

- 'Edge-Clustered' (so-called Chebyshev/Gauss/... nodes)
  - But first: Why *not* monomials on equispaced points?
  - Why not equispaced?

## Lagrange Interpolation

- Find a basis so that  $V = I$ , i.e.

$$\varphi_j(x_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & i=j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\varphi_1(x) = \frac{x_1 \quad \quad \quad \underbrace{x_2} \quad \quad \quad \underbrace{x_3}}{(x-x_2)(x-x_3)} \frac{(x-x_3)}{(x_1-x_2)(x_1-x_3)}$$

$$\varphi_2(x) = \frac{(x-x_1)}{(x_2-x_1)} \frac{(x-x_3)}{(x_2-x_3)}$$

$$\varphi_3(x) =$$

## Lagrange Polynomials: General Form

$$\varphi_j(x) = \frac{\prod_{k=1, k \neq j}^m (x - x_k)}{\prod_{k=1, k \neq j}^m (x_j - x_k)}$$

## Newton Interpolation

- Find a basis so that  $V$  is triangular.

$$\varphi_1(x) = 1$$

$$\varphi_2(x) = (x - x_1)$$

$$\varphi_3(x) = (x - x_1)(x - x_2)$$

- Why not Lagrange/Newton?

↳ solve with BU / FW subok  
✓ ▽

↳ Another process:

"Divided Differences"

## Better conditioning: Orthogonal polynomials

- What caused monomials to have a terribly conditioned Vandermonde?
- What's a way to make sure two vectors are *not* like that?
- But polynomials are functions!
- But how can I practically compute the Legendre polynomials?

$$f(x) \perp g(x)?$$
$$\int_a^b f(x) g(x) dx = 0$$

inner product  $(f, g)$

$$\vec{f} \perp \vec{g}$$
$$\sum f_i \cdot g_i = 0$$

$$(f, g) = \int f(x) g(x) \omega(x) dx$$

## Another family of orthogonal polynomials: Chebyshev

Three equivalent definitions:

- Result of Gram-Schmidt with weight  $1/(1-x^2)$ 
  - What is that weight?  
(Again, you won't exactly get the standard normalization if you do this.)
- $T_k(x) = \cos(k \cos^{-1}(x))$
- $T_k(x) = 2x T_{k-1}(x) - T_{k-2}(x)$

### Demo: Chebyshev interpolation part I

- What is the Vandermonde matrix for Chebyshev polynomials?



## Chebyshev nodes

Might also consider zeros (instead of roots) of  $T_k$ :

$$x_i = \cos\left(\frac{2i+1}{2k}\pi\right) \quad (i = 1, \dots, k).$$

The Vandermonde for these (with  $T_k$ ) can be applied in  $O(N \log N)$  time, too.

It turns out that we were still looking for a good set of interpolation nodes.

- We came up with the criterion that the nodes should bunch towards the ends. Do these do that?

### **Demo:** Chebyshev interpolation part II

- Summary?

## Error Result

$$f(x) - p_{n-1}(x) = \frac{f^{(n)}(\xi)}{n!} (x - x_1)(x - x_2) \cdots (x - x_n)$$

- Why does Chebyshev-like 'bunching' work?