# CS 450: Numerical Anlaysis<sup>1</sup> Interpolation

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>These slides have been drafted by Edgar Solomonik as lecture templates and supplementary material for the book "Scientific Computing: An Introductory Survey" by Michael T. Heath (slides).

### Interpolation

• Given  $(t_1, y_1), \ldots, (t_m, y_m)$  with *nodes*  $t_1 < \cdots < t_m$  an *interpolant* f satisfies:

$$f(t_i) = y_i \quad \forall i.$$

- ► The number of possible interpolant functions is infinite, but there is a unique degree m 1 polynomial interpolant.
- ► Error of interpolant can be quantified with knowledge of true function g, (e.g. by considering  $\max_{t \in [t_1, t_m]} |f(t) g(t)|$ ).
- Interpolant is usually constructed as linear combinations of *basis functions*  $\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^n = \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n$  so  $f(t) = \sum_j x_j \phi_j(t)$ .
  - Interpolant exists if  $n \ge m$  and is unique for a given basis if n = m.
  - Vandermonde matrix  $A = V(t, \{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^n)$  satisfies  $a_{ij} = \phi_j(t_i)$  so Ax = y.
  - Coefficients x of interpolant are obtained by solving Vandermonde system
     Ax = y for x.

## **Polynomial Interpolation**

- The choice of *monomials* as basis functions,  $\phi_j(t) = t^{j-1}$  yields a degree n-1 polynomial interpolant:
  - Corresponding Vandermonde matrix  $A = V(t, \{t^{j-1}\}_{j=1}^n)$  satisfies  $a_{ij} = t_i^{j-1}$ .
- > Polynomial interpolants are easy to evaluate and do calculus on:
  - Horner's rule requires n products and n-1 additions:

$$f(t) = x_1 + t(x_2 + t(x_3 + \ldots)).$$

► *O*(*n*) work to determine new coefficients for differentiation and integration.

# Conditioning of Interpolation

- Conditioning of interpolation matrix A depends on basis functions and coordinates t<sub>1</sub>,...,t<sub>m</sub>:
  - $t_i$  defines the *i*th row, so columns tend to be nearly linearly-dependent if  $t_i \approx t_{i+1}$
  - φ<sub>j</sub> defines the jth column, so rows tend to be nearly linearly-dependent if φ<sub>j</sub> is nearly in the span of the other basis functions: span({φ<sub>i</sub>}<sup>n</sup><sub>i=1,i≠j</sub>)
- > The Vandermonde matrix tends to be ill-conditioned:
  - Monomials of increasing degree increasingly resemble one-another, so rows of A become nearly the same, and consequently κ(A) grows.
  - ► The conditioning can be improved somewhat by shifting and scaling points so that each  $t_i \in [-1, 1]$ .
  - Consequently, we will consider alternative polynomial bases, seeking to improve the efficiency and conditioning associated with the Vandermonde matrix.
  - However, generally, we will obtain the same polynomial interpolant. To improve interpolant quality (e.g. avoid oscillations), the nodes and not the basis functions need to be changed.

### Lagrange Basis

▶ n-points fully define the unique (n − 1)-degree polynomial interpolant in the Lagrange basis:



- Note that den is never 0,
- num is 0 whenever  $t = t_k$  for some k, so  $\phi_j(t_i) = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ ,
- when  $t = t_j$  then **num** and **dem** are the same, so  $\phi_j(t_j) = 1$ ,
- consequently, the Lagrange Vandermonde matrix  $V(t, \{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^n) = I$ .
- Lagrange polynomials yield an ideal Vandermonde system, but the basis functions are hard to evaluate and do calculus on:
  - Evaluation requires  $O(n^2)$  work naively and may incur cancellation error.
  - > Differentiation and integration are also harder than with monomials.

#### **Newton Basis**

- The *Newton basis* functions  $\phi_j(t) = \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (t t_k)$  with  $\phi_1(t) = 1$  seek the best of monomial and Lagrange bases:
  - Evaluation with Newton basis can use recurrence,

$$\phi_j(t) = \phi_{j-1}(t)(t-t_j).$$

- > Divided difference recurrence enables fast computation of coefficients.
- > The Newton basis yields a triangular Vandermonde system:
  - Note that  $a_{ij} = \phi_j(t_i) = 0$  for all i < j, so A is lower-triangular.
  - Given A, can use back-substitution to obtain the solution in  $O(n^2)$  work.
  - ► Can use evaluation recurrence to compute A with O(n<sup>2</sup>) work, but divided difference recurrence is more stable than forming A.

### **Orthogonal Polynomials**

- Recall that good conditioning for interpolation is achieved by constructing a well-conditioned Vandermonde matrix, which is the case when the columns (corresponding to each basis function) are orthonormal. To construct robust basis sets, we introduce a notion of *orthonormal functions*:
  - To compute overlap between basis functions, use a w-weighted integral as inner product,

$$\langle p,q \rangle_w = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(t)q(t)w(t)dt.$$

•  $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^n$  are orthonormal with respect to the above inner product if

$$\langle \phi_i, \phi_j \rangle_w = \delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

• The corresponding norm is given by  $||f|| = \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle_w}$ .

## Legendre Polynomials

The Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization procedure can be used to obtain an orthonormal basis with the same span as any given arbitrary basis:
 *Given orthonormal functions* {φ<sub>i</sub>}<sup>k-1</sup><sub>i=1</sub> obtain kth function from φ<sub>k</sub> via

$$\hat{\phi}_k(t) = \frac{\hat{\psi}_k(t)}{||\hat{\psi}_k||}, \quad \psi_k(t) = \phi_k(t) - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \langle \phi_k(t), \hat{\phi}_i(t) \rangle_w \hat{\phi}_i(t)$$

• The Legendre polynomials are obtained by Gram-Schmidt on the monomial basis, with  $w(t) = \begin{cases} 1: -1 \le t \le 1\\ 0: \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$  and normalized so  $\hat{\phi}_i(1) = 1$ . For example,  $\{\hat{\phi}_i(t)\}_{i=1}^3 = \{1, t, (3t^2 - 1)/2\}$  since  $\psi_1(t) = 1, \quad \psi_2(t) = t - \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 t dt = t$  $\psi_3(t) = t^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 t^2 dt - t \int_{-1}^1 t^3 dt = t^2 - 1/3$ 

## **Chebyshev Basis**

**Demo:** Chebyshev interpolation **Activity:** Chebyshev Interpolation

- Chebyshev polynomials  $\phi_j(t) = \cos((j-1) \operatorname{arccos}(t))$  and Chebyshev nodes  $t_i = \cos\left(\frac{2i-1}{2n}\pi\right)$  provide a way to pick nodes  $t_1, \ldots, t_n$  along with a basis, to yield perfect conditioning:
  - They satisfy the recurrence  $\phi_1(t) = 1, \phi_2(t) = t, \phi_{i+1}(t) = 2t\phi_i(t) \phi_{i-1}(t)$
  - > The Chebyshev basis functions are orthonormal with respect to

$$w(t) = \begin{cases} 1/(1-t^2)^{1/2} & : -1 \le t \le 1\\ 0 & : \textit{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

> The Chebyshev nodes ensure orthogonality of the columns of A, since

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \phi_l(t_k) \phi_j(t_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \cos\left(\frac{(l-1)(2k-1)}{2n}\pi\right) \cos\left(\frac{(j-1)(2k-1)}{2n}\pi\right)$$

is zero whenever  $j \neq l$  due to periodicity of the summands.

### **Chebyshev Nodes Intuition**



- ▶ Note *equi-oscillation* property, successive extrema of  $T_k = \phi_k$  have the same magnitude but opposite sign.
- Set of k Chebyshev nodes of are given by zeros of Tk and are abscissas of points uniformly spaced on the unit circle.

#### Error in Interpolation

We show by induction that given degree n polynomial interpolant  $\tilde{f}$  of f the error  $E(t) = f(t) - \tilde{f}(t)$  has n zeros  $t_1, \ldots, t_n$  and there exist  $y_1, \ldots, y_n$  so

$$E(t) = \int_{t_1}^t \int_{y_1}^{w_0} \cdots \int_{y_n}^{w_{n-1}} f^{(n+1)}(w_n) dw_n \cdots dw_0$$
(1)

$$E(t) = E(t_1) + \int_{t_1}^t E'(w_0) dw_0$$
(2)

Now note that for each of n-1 consecutive pairs  $t_i$ ,  $t_{i+1}$  we have

$$\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E'(t)dt = E(t_{i+1}) - E(t_i) = 0$$

and so there are n - 1 zeros  $z_i \in (t_i, t_{i+1})$  such that  $E'(z_i) = 0$ . The inductive hypothesis on E' then gives

$$E'(w_0) = \int_{z_1}^{w_0} \int_{y_2}^{w_1} \cdots \int_{y_n}^{w_{n-1}} f^{(n+1)}(w_n) dw_n \cdots dw_1$$
(3)

Substituting (3) into (2), we obtain (1) with  $y_1 = z_1$ .

### **Interpolation Error Bounds**

Consequently, polynomial interpolation satisfies the following error bound:

$$|E(t)| \le \frac{\max_{s \in [t_1, t_n]} |f^{(n+1)}(s)|}{n!} \prod_{i=1}^n (t - t_i) \text{ for } t \in [t_1, t_n]$$

Note that the Choice of Chebyshev nodes decreases this error bound at the extrema, equalizing it with nodes that are in the middle of the interval.

• Letting  $h = t_n - t_1$  (often also achieve same for h as the node-spacing  $t_{i+1} - t_i$ ), we obtain

$$|E(t)| \le \frac{\max_{s \in [t_1, t_n]} |f^{(n+1)}(s)|}{n!} h^n = O(h^n) \text{ for } t \in [t_1, t_n]$$

Suggests that higher-accuracy can be achieved by

- adding more nodes (however, high polynomial degree can lead to unwanted oscillations)
- shrinking interpolation interval (suggests piecewise interpolation)

# Piecewise Polynomial Interpolation

- The *k*th piece of the interpolant is typically chosen as polynomial on  $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ 
  - Typically low-degree polynomial pieces used, e.g. cubic.
  - Degree of piecewise polynomial is the degree of its pieces.
  - Continuity is automatic, differentiability can be enforced by ensuring derivative of pieces is equal at knots (nodes at which pieces meet).

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} t \in [t_1, t_2] & : f_1(t) \\ \vdots & , \forall i \in [2, n-1], f_{i-1}(t_i) = f_i(t_i) = y_i \\ t \in [t_{n-1}, t_n] & : f_{n-1}(t) \end{cases}$$

- Hermite interpolation ensures consecutive interpolant pieces have same derivative at each knot t<sub>i</sub>:
  - Hermite interpolation ensures differntiability of the interpolant  $\forall i \in [2, n-1], f'_{i-1}(t_i) = f'_i(t_i)$
  - Various further constraints can be placed on the interpolant if its degree is at least 3, since otherwise the system is underdetermined.

### **Spline Interpolation**

- ► A *spline* is a (k − 1)-time differentiable piecewise polynomial of degree k: Cubic splines are different from Hermite cubics
  - 2(n-1) equations needed to interpolate data
  - n-2 to ensure continuity of derivative
  - $\blacktriangleright$  n-2 to ensure continuity of second derivative for cubic splines

Overall there are 4(n-1) coefficients in the interpolant.

The resulting interpolant coefficients are again determined by an appropriate generalized Vandermonde system:

A natural spline obtains 4(n-1) constraints by forcing  $f''(t_1) = f''(t_n) = 0$ . Given cubic pieces p(t) and q(t) and nodes  $t_1, t_2, t_3$  (where  $t_2$  is a knot) the generalized Vandermonde system for a two-piece cubic natural spline consists of 8 equations with 8 unknowns:

$$p(t_1) = y_1, \quad p''(t_1) = 0$$
  

$$p(t_2) = y_2, \quad q(t_2) = y_2, \quad p'(t_2) = q'(t_2), \quad p''(t_2) = q''(t_2)$$
  

$$q(t_3) = y_3, \quad q''(t_3) = 0$$

**B-Splines** 

*B-splines* provide an effective way of constructing splines from a basis:

> The basis functions can be defined recursively with respect to degree:

$$\begin{split} v_i^k(t) &= \frac{t - t_i}{t_{i+k} - t_i}, & \phi_i^0(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & t_i \le t \le t_{i+1} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \phi_i^k(t) &= v_i^k(t)\phi_i^{k-1}(t) + (1 - v_{i+1}^k(t))\phi_{i+1}^{k-1}(t), & f(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i\phi_i^k(t) \end{split}$$

- ▶ φ<sup>1</sup><sub>i</sub> is a linear hat function that increases from 0 to 1 on [t<sub>i</sub>, t<sub>i+1</sub>] and decreases from 1 to 0 on [t<sub>i+1</sub>, t<sub>i+2</sub>].
- $\phi_i^k$  is is positive on  $[t_i, t_{i+k+1}]$  and zero elsewhere.
- The B-spline basis spans all possible splines of degree k with nodes  $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^n$ .
- ► The B-spline basis coefficients are determined by a Vandermonde system that is lower-triangular and banded (has k subdiagonals), and need not contain differentiability constraints, since f(t) is a sum of  $\phi_i^k$ s.