### CS 450: Numerical Anlaysis

Lecture 5
Chapter 2 – Linear Systems
Solving Linear Systems

**Edgar Solomonik** 

Department of Computer Science University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

February 2, 2018

### Solving Triangular Systems

▶ Lx = b if L is lower-triangular is solved by forward substitution:

$$l_{11}x_1 = b_1 x_1 = b_1/l_{11}$$

$$l_{21}x_1 + l_{22}x_2 = b_2 \Rightarrow x_2 = (b_2 - l_{21}x_1)/l_{22}$$

$$l_{31}x_1 + l_{32}x_2 + l_{33}x_3 = b_2 x_3 = (b_3 - l_{31}x_1 - l_{32}x_2)/l_{33}$$

$$\vdots \vdots \vdots$$

Computational complexity of forward/backward substitution:

The total cost for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is  $n^2/2$  multiplications and  $n^2/2$  additions to leading order. So the asymptotic complexity is  $O(n^2)$ , the same as for a matrix-vector product.

## Solving Triangular Systems

- Existence of solution to Lx = b:

  If some  $l_{ii} = 0$ , the solution may not exist, and  $L^{-1}$  does not exist.
- ▶ Invertibility of *L* and existence of solution:

Even if some  $l_{ii}=0$  and  ${\bf L}^{-1}$  does not exist, the system may have a solution. The solution will not be unique since columns of  ${\bf L}$  are necessarily linearly dependent if a diagonal element is zero.

# **Properties of Triangular Matrices**

ightharpoonup Z = XY is lower triangular is X and Y are both lower triangular:

Holds trivially when n = 1, then for n > 1,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{Z}_{11} & \boldsymbol{Z}_{12} \\ \boldsymbol{Z}_{21} & \boldsymbol{Z}_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{X}_{11} & \\ \boldsymbol{X}_{21} & \boldsymbol{X}_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{Y}_{11} & \\ \boldsymbol{Y}_{21} & \boldsymbol{Y}_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

By induction  $Z_{11} = X_{11}Y_{11}$  and  $Z_{22} = X_{22}Y_{22}$  are lower-triangular. Then it suffices to observe that  $Z_{12} = 0$ .

▶  $L^{-1}$  is lower triangular if it exists:

We give a constructive proof by providing an algorithm for triangular matrix inversion, We need  $m{Y} = m{X}^{-1}$  so

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{Y}_{11} & \\ \boldsymbol{Y}_{21} & \boldsymbol{Y}_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{X}_{11} & \\ \boldsymbol{X}_{21} & \boldsymbol{X}_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{I} & \\ & \boldsymbol{I} \end{bmatrix},$$

from which we can deduce

$$Y_{11} = X_{11}^{-1}, \quad Y_{22} = X_{22}^{-1}, \quad Y_{21} = -Y_{22}X_{21}Y_{11}.$$

#### LU Factorization

- An LU factorization consists of a unit-diagonal lower-triangular factor L and upper-triangular factor U such that A = LU:
  - ▶ Unit-diagonal implies each  $l_{ii} = 1$ , leaving n(n-1)/2 unknowns in  $\boldsymbol{L}$  and n(n+1)/2 unknowns in  $\boldsymbol{U}$ , for a total of  $n^2$ , the same as the size of  $\boldsymbol{A}$ .
  - lacktriangledown Once we have an LU factorization of  $m{A}$ , we can solve the linear system  $m{A}m{x}=m{b}$ 
    - 1. using forward substitution  $oldsymbol{L}oldsymbol{y} = oldsymbol{b}$
    - 2. using backward substitution to solve  $oldsymbol{U}oldsymbol{x}=oldsymbol{y}$
  - lacktriangle Assuming invertibility, this corresponds to computing  $m{x} = m{U}^{-1} m{L}^{-1} m{b}$
  - It suffices to have a forward substitution routine and reversing the ordering of vector elements, done by taking the product with P,  $p_{ij} = \delta(i, n+1-j)$ ,

$$LU = LP \underbrace{PUP}_{ ilde{L}} P = LP ilde{L} P$$

For rectangular matrices  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , one can consider a full LU factorization, with  $L \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times \max(m,n)}$  and  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{\max(m,n) \times n}$ , but it is fully described by a reduced LU factorization, with  $L \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times \min(m,n)}$  and  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{\min(m,n) \times n}$ .

### **Gaussian Elimination**

► The LU factorization may not exist: Consider matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 6 & 4 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ .

We can infer what the first row of  $oldsymbol{L}$  and column of  $oldsymbol{U}$  directly from the matrix,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 6 & 4 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 0 & l_{32} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 0 & u_{21} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then we can observe that  $4=4+u_{21}$  so  $u_{21}=0$ , but at the same time  $l_{32}u_{21}=3$ , which is a contradiction.

More generally, if for any partitioning  $\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}$  the leading minor is singular ( $\det(A_{11})=0$ ), A has no LU factorization.

► Permutation of variables enables us to transform the linear system so the LU factorization does exist:

If A is not singular, its leading k columns for any k have a span of dimension k, and so a permutation of their rows exists so  $A_{11} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$  is not singular.

### **Gaussian Elimination Algorithm**

lacktriangle Algorithm for factorization is derived from equations given by A=LU:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{A}_{11} & \boldsymbol{A}_{12} \\ \boldsymbol{A}_{21} & \boldsymbol{A}_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{L}_{11} & \\ \boldsymbol{L}_{21} & \boldsymbol{L}_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{U}_{11} & \boldsymbol{U}_{12} \\ & \boldsymbol{U}_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

- lacktriangle Obtain LU factorization of the leading minor  $m{A}_{11} = m{L}_{11} m{U}_{11}$  by recursion
- lacktriangle Solve sets of triangular linear systems can be solved to obtain  $m{L}_{21}$  and  $m{U}_{12}$  from  $m{A}_{21} = m{L}_{21} m{U}_{11}$  and  $m{A}_{12} = m{L}_{11} m{U}_{12}$
- ▶ Obtain  $L_{22}$  and  $U_{22}$  by recursion of LU on Schur complement

$$oldsymbol{S} = oldsymbol{A}_{22} - oldsymbol{L}_{21} oldsymbol{U}_{12} = oldsymbol{A}_{22} - oldsymbol{A}_{21} oldsymbol{A}_{11}^{-1} oldsymbol{A}_{12} = oldsymbol{L}_{22} oldsymbol{U}_{22}$$

▶ The kth column of L is given by the kth elementary matrix  $M_k$ :

$$\boldsymbol{M}_{k} \begin{bmatrix} v_{1} & \cdots & v_{k} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{T} = \boldsymbol{v}$$

### **Elimination Matrices**

- An elimination matrix M<sub>k</sub> satisfies the following properties:
  - It is a rank-1 perturbation of the identity that is unit-diagonal and lower-triangular,

$$oldsymbol{M}_k = oldsymbol{I} - oldsymbol{m}_k oldsymbol{e}_k^T = oldsymbol{I} - egin{bmatrix} oldsymbol{ ilde{m}}_k \ oldsymbol{0} \end{bmatrix} oldsymbol{e}_k^T$$

It reduces a given vector to its first k elements

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{M}_k & egin{bmatrix} a_1 \ dots \ a_k \ 0 \ dots \end{bmatrix} = oldsymbol{a} \end{aligned}$$

$$M_k^{-1} = I + m_k e_k^T = 2I - M_k$$

$$\mathbf{M}_j \mathbf{M}_k = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{m}_j \mathbf{e}_j^T)(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{m}_k \mathbf{e}_k^T) = (\mathbf{I} - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{m}_j & \mathbf{m}_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}_j & \mathbf{e}_k \end{bmatrix}^T) = \mathbf{M}_j + \mathbf{M}_k - \mathbf{I}$$

### Gaussian Elimination with Partial Pivoting

Partial pivoting permutes rows to make divisor  $u_{ii}$  is maximal at each step: Based on our argument above, for any matrix A there exists a permutation matrix P that can permute the rows of A to permit an LU factorization,

$$PA = LU$$
.

Partial pivoting finds such a permutation matrix P one row at a time. The ith row is selected to maximize the magnitude of the leading element (over elements in the first column), which becomes the entry  $u_{ii}$ . This selection ensures that we are never forced to divide by zero during Gaussian elimination and that the magnitude of any element in L is at most 1.

A row permutation corresponds to an application of a row permutation matrix  $P_{jk} = I - (e_j - e_k)(e_j - e_k)^T$ :

If we permute row  $i_j$  to be the leading (ith) row at the ith step, the overall permutation matrix is given by  $\mathbf{P}^T = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbf{P}_{ii_j}$ , generally,  $p_{ij} \neq \delta(j, i_j)$ .

# **Complete Pivoting and Error Bounds**

- ► Complete pivoting permutes rows and columns to make divisor  $u_{ii}$  is maximal at each step:
  - Partial pivoting bounds the size of elements in L, but elements in U can have magnitudes larger than elements of A. Complete pivoting bounds this growth, by ensuring that
- For LU, the backward error  $\delta A$ , so that  $\hat{L}\hat{U}=A+\delta A$ , satisfies bound  $|\delta a_{ij}|\leq \epsilon(|\hat{L}|\cdot|\hat{U}|)_{ij}$ :

For an arbitrary  $a_{ij}$ , consider the partitioning  $\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}$  where  $A_{11}$  is of dimension  $\min(i,j)-1$ . After the Schur complement update  $S=fl(A_{22}-\hat{L}_{21}\hat{U}_{12})$ , the entry in S corresponding to  $a_{ij}$  (an entry in  $A_{22}$ ) will become an entry of U or an entry of  $\hat{L}$  (after a division that can only shrink the error). Thus the  $\hat{L}$  and  $\hat{U}$  are factors of a matrix  $A+\delta A$  where the perturbation is bounded by the error of the inner product necessary to compute any Schur complement entry, so  $|\delta a_{ij}| \leq \epsilon(|\hat{L}|\cdot|\hat{U}|)_{ij}$ .