CS 450: Numerical Anlaysis¹ Numerical Integration and Differentiation

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

¹These slides have been drafted by Edgar Solomonik as lecture templates and supplementary material for the book "Scientific Computing: An Introductory Survey" by Michael T. Heath (slides).

Stirle 2 (W, y) 9 uniformly spaced nodes Newton-Cotes are exact for polynomials of legree not, when aring a nodes The state of the s for large n ill-conditioned La Chebyshev no des (Clenshaw-Curhis qued.) Canessan Gaedrahme (select Aoth wode a vegens) La keyree 2n-1

pirceuse interpolation -> Composite quadrature nles emos sealer as $O(h^n)$ meludu noder und

note for a node

toxxxxxxx (rule for 20 who progressive quedrature

Integrability and Sensitivity

▶ Seek to compute $\mathcal{I}(f) = \int_a^b f(x) dx$:

▶ The condition number of integration is bounded by the distance b-a:

Quadrature Rules

ightharpoonup Approximate the integral $\mathcal{I}(f)$ by a weighted sum of function values:

For a fixed set of n nodes, polynomial interpolation followed by integration give (n-1)-degree quadrature rule:

Determining Weights for Quadrature Rules

ightharpoonup A quadrature rule provides x and w so as to approximate

Method of undetermined coefficients obtains y from moment equations, which insure the quadrature rule is exact for all monomials of degree n-1:

Newton-Cotes Quadrature

ightharpoonup Newton-Cotes quadrature rules are defined by equispaced nodes on [a,b]:

▶ The *midpoint rule* is the n = 1 open Newton-Cotes rule:

▶ The *trapezoid rule* is the n = 2 closed Newton-Cotes rule:

► Simpson's rule is the n = 3 closed Newton-Cotes rule:

Error in Newton-Cotes Quadrature

Consider the Taylor expansion of f about the midpoint of the integration interval m=(a+b)/2:

Integrating the Taylor approximation of f, we note that the odd terms drop:

Error Estimation

► The trapezoid rule is also first degree, despite using higher-degree polynomial interpolant approximation, since

The above derivation allows us to obtain an error approximation via a difference of midpoint and trapezoidal rules:

Error in Polynomial Quadrature Rules

▶ We can bound the error for a an arbitrary polynomial quadrature rule by

Conditioning of Newton-Cotes Quadrature

▶ We can ascertain stability of quadrature rules, by considering the amplification of a perturbation $\hat{f} = f + \delta f$:

Newton-Cotes quadrature rules have at least one negative weight for any $n \ge 11$:

Clenshaw-Curtis Quadrature

► To obtain a more stable quadrature rule, we need to ensure the integrated interpolant is well-behaved as *n* increases:

Gaussian Ouadrature

➤ So far, we have only considered quadrature rules based on a fixed set of nodes, but we may also be able to choose nodes to maximize accuracy:

The *unique* n-point *Gaussian quadrature rule* is defined by the solution of the nonlinear form of the moment equations in terms of *both* x and w:

Using Gaussian Quadrature Rules

▶ Gaussian quadrature rules are hard to compute, but can be enumerated for a fixed interval, e.g. a=0,b=1, so it suffices to transform the integral to [0,1]

Gaussian quadrature rules are accurate and stable but not progressive (nodes cannot be reused to obtain higher-degree approximation):

Progressive Gaussian-like Quadrature Rules

▶ Kronod quadrature rules construct (2n+1)-point (3n+1)-degree quadrature K_{2n+1} that is progressive with respect to Gaussian quadrature rule G_n :

- ▶ *Patterson* quadrature rules use 2n + 2 more points to extend (2n + 1)-point Kronod rule to degree 6n + 4, while reusing all 2n + 1 points.
- Gaussian quadrature rules are in general open, but Gauss-Radau and Gauss-Lobatto rules permit including end-points:

Composite and Adaptive Quadrature

Composite quadrature rules are obtained by integrating a piecewise interpolant of f:

▶ Composite quadrature can be done with adaptive refinement:

More Complicated Integration Problems

► To handle improper integrals can either transform integral to get rid of infinite limit or use appropriate open quadrature rules.

Double integrals can simply be computed by successive 1-D integration.

► High-dimensional integration is often effectively done by *Monte Carlo*:

Integral Equations

► Rather than evaluating an integral, in solving an *integral equation* we seek to compute the integrand. A typical linear integral equation has the form

$$\int_a^b K(s,t)u(t)dt=f(s),$$
 where K and f are known.

lacktriangle Using a quadrature rule with weights w_1,\ldots,w_n and nodes t_1,\ldots,t_n obtain

Numerical Differentiation

▶ Automatic (symbolic) differentiation is a surprisingly viable option:

Numerical differentiation can be done by interpolation or finite differencing:

Accuracy of Finite Differences

Demo: Floating point vs Finite Differences

Demo: Finite Differences vs Noise

Forward and backward differencing provide first-order accuracy:

First =
$$\frac{f(x) - hf'(x)}{f(x)} + \frac{h^2}{2}f''(x) + \dots$$

$$f'(x) = \frac{f(x) - hf'(x)}{f(x)} + \frac{h^2}{2}f''(x) + \dots$$

$$f'(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(x-h)}{h} + \frac{h^2}{2}f''(x) + \dots$$

$$f'(x) = \frac{f(x) - hf'(x)}{h} + \frac{h^2}{2}f''(x) + \dots$$

Extrapolation Techniques

Demo: Richardson with Finite Differences **Activity:** Richardson Extrapolation

► Given a series of approximate solutions produced by an iterative procedure, a more accurate approximation may be obtained by *extrapolating* this series.

In particular, given two guesses, *Richardson extrapolation* eliminates the leading order error term.

leading order error term.

a, hr we was
$$F(h)$$
 and $F(h/2)$

$$F(h/2) = a_0 + a_1h^2 + O(h^2)$$

$$F(h/2) = a_0 + a_1h^2 + O(h^2)$$

$$a_{0} = f(h) - \frac{f(h) - f(h)}{1 - 1/2p} + o(h)$$

$$= \frac{(1 - (1 - 1/2p)) \cdot f(h)}{1 - 1/2p} + \frac{f(h/2)}{1 - 1/2p}$$

High-Order Extrapolation

▶ Given a series of k approximations, <u>Romberg integration</u> applies (k-1)-levels of Richardson extrapolation

$$(k-1)$$
-levels of Richardson extrapolation.

 $f(f)$
 $f(f)$

Extrapolation can be used within an iterative procedure at each step: