# Fourier Transforms of Inverse Toeplitz Operators

Fourier transform 
$$P_h^{-1}Q_hy$$
?

$$\frac{\hat{q}(\theta)}{\hat{p}(\theta)} \hat{y}(\theta)$$

$$(\nabla_{x})_{j} - \sum_{k} t_{j,k} \times_{k} \longrightarrow (\nabla_{x})(\theta) = \hat{t}(\theta) \times (\theta)$$

$$(P_h Q_{x})_{j} = \hat{p}(\theta) \hat{q}(\theta) \hat{x}(\theta)$$

$$(P_h Q_{x})_{j} = \hat{p}(\theta) \hat{q}(\theta) \hat{x}(\theta)$$

$$(P_h Q_{x})_{j} = \hat{p}(\theta) \hat{q}(\theta) \hat{x}(\theta)$$

## Bounding the Operator Norm

Bound  $||P_h^{-1}Q_h||_2^2$  using Fourier:

$$\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} Q_{N} \|_{2}^{2} = \sup_{\hat{x} \neq 0} \frac{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} Q_{N}^{-1} \hat{x} \|_{2}^{2}}{\| \hat{x} \|_{2}^{2}} = \sup_{\hat{x} \neq 0} \frac{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} Q_{N}^{-1} \hat{x} \|_{2}^{2}}{\| \hat{x} \|_{2}^{2}} = \sup_{\hat{x} \neq 0} \frac{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} Q_{N}^{-1} \hat{x} \|_{2}^{2}}{\| \hat{x} \|_{2}^{2}} = \sup_{\hat{x} \neq 0} \frac{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} Q_{N}^{-1} \hat{x} \|_{2}^{2}}{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} Q_{N}^{-1} \|_{2}^{2}} = \sup_{\hat{x} \neq 0} \frac{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} Q_{N}^{-1} \hat{x} \|_{2}^{2}}{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} Q_{N}^{-1} \|_{2}^{2}} = \sup_{\hat{x} \neq 0} \frac{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} Q_{N}^{-1} \hat{x} \|_{2}^{2}}{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} Q_{N}^{-1} \|_{2}^{2}} = \sup_{\hat{x} \neq 0} \frac{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} Q_{N}^{-1} \hat{x} \|_{2}^{2}}{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} \|_{2}^{2}} = \sup_{\hat{x} \neq 0} \frac{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} Q_{N}^{-1} \hat{x} \|_{2}^{2}}{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} \|_{2}^{2}} = \sup_{\hat{x} \neq 0} \frac{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} Q_{N}^{-1} \hat{x} \|_{2}^{2}}{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} \|_{2}^{2}} = \sup_{\hat{x} \neq 0} \frac{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} Q_{N}^{-1} \hat{x} \|_{2}^{2}}{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} \|_{2}^{2}} = \sup_{\hat{x} \neq 0} \frac{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} Q_{N}^{-1} \hat{x} \|_{2}^{2}}{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} \|_{2}^{2}} = \sup_{\hat{x} \neq 0} \frac{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} \hat{x} \|_{2}^{2}}{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} \|_{2}^{2}} = \sup_{\hat{x} \neq 0} \frac{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} \hat{x} \|_{2}^{2}}{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} \|_{2}^{2}} = \sup_{\hat{x} \neq 0} \frac{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} \hat{x} \|_{2}^{2}}{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} \|_{2}^{2}} = \sup_{\hat{x} \neq 0} \frac{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} \hat{x} \|_{2}^{2}}{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} \|_{2}^{2}} = \sup_{\hat{x} \neq 0} \frac{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} \hat{x} \|_{2}^{2}}{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} \|_{2}^{2}} = \sup_{\hat{x} \neq 0} \frac{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} \hat{x} \|_{2}^{2}}{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} \|_{2}^{2}} = \sup_{\hat{x} \neq 0} \frac{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} \hat{x} \|_{2}^{2}}{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} \|_{2}^{2}} = \sup_{\hat{x} \neq 0} \frac{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} \hat{x} \|_{2}^{2}}{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} \|_{2}^{2}} = \sup_{\hat{x} \neq 0} \frac{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} \hat{x} \|_{2}^{2}}{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} \|_{2}^{2}} = \sup_{\hat{x} \neq 0} \frac{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} \hat{x} \|_{2}^{2}}{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} \|_{2}^{2}} = \sup_{\hat{x} \neq 0} \frac{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} \hat{x} \|_{2}^{2}}{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} \|_{2}^{2}} = \sup_{\hat{x} \neq 0} \frac{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} \hat{x} \|_{2}^{2}}{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} \|_{2}^{2}} = \sup_{\hat{x} \neq 0} \frac{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} \hat{x} \|_{2}^{2}}{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} \|_{2}^{2}} = \sup_{\hat{x} \neq 0} \frac{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{-1} \hat{x} \|_{2}^{2}}{\| \hat{\rho}_{N}^{$$

Is the upper bound attained?

IF 
$$\hat{x}(\theta) = \delta(\theta - \phi^*)$$
 where  $|\hat{q}(\theta)|\hat{p}(0)|$  a think its max, then yes.

## von Neumann Stability

Two-level finite difference scheme

$$P_h \mathbf{v}_{\ell+1} = Q_h \mathbf{v}_{\ell} + h_t \mathbf{b}_{\ell},$$

where  $P_h$  and  $Q_h$  are Toeplitz operators with vectors  $\boldsymbol{p}$  and  $\boldsymbol{q}$ .

## Definition (Symbol of a Two-Level Finite Difference Scheme)

Let

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}(\theta) = \sum_{k} p_{k} e^{-i\varphi k}, \qquad \hat{\boldsymbol{q}}(\theta) = \sum_{k} q_{k} e^{-i\varphi k}.$$

Then the symbol of the two-level FD method is  $s(\varphi) = \hat{\boldsymbol{q}}(\varphi)/\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}(\theta)$ .

#### Definition (Von Neumann Stability)

lf

$$\max_{arphi} |s(arphi)| \leq 1, \qquad \max_{arphi} \left| rac{1}{\hat{m{p}}(arphi)} 
ight| \leq c$$

for some constant c > 0, we say the scheme is von Neumann stable.

# Comparison with Lax-Richtmyer Stability

Need 
$$\|(P_h^{-1}Q_h)^{\ell}P_h^{-1}\| \le c$$
.

Why is bounding the symbol the most salient part?

Main restriction of von Neumann stability?

von Neumann Stability: ETBS (1/2) 0< √⟨ )

ETBS: Let 
$$\lambda = ah_t/h_x$$
.  $u_{k,\ell+1} = \lambda u_{k-1,\ell} + (1-\lambda)u_{k,\ell}$ .

$$Q_n = \text{Indiag}(\lambda, |-\lambda| 0)$$
Auxiliary mode:  $T$  of  $Q_n = \text{Indiag}(\lambda, |-\lambda| 0)$ 

$$P(y) = \sum_{k} r_k e^{-iyk} = \sum_{k} S_{kj} e^{-iyk} = e^{-iyj}$$

$$Q_n^2 = \text{Indiag}(\lambda, |-\lambda| 0)$$

$$P(y) = \sum_{k} r_k e^{-iyk} = \sum_{k} S_{kj} e^{-iyk} = e^{-iyj}$$

$$Q_n^2 = \sum_{k} S_{kj} e^{-iyk} = e^{-iyk}$$

$$Q_n^2 = \sum_{k} S_{$$

von Neumann Stability: ETBS (2/2)

Found: 
$$|s(\varphi)|^2 = 1 + 2(\lambda - \lambda^2)(\cos \varphi - 1)$$
.

Take diminisher with 
$$\varphi$$

$$\frac{d}{d\varphi} \left( [+2(\lambda - \lambda^{2})(\cos \varphi - 1) = -2(\lambda - \lambda^{2}) \sin \varphi = 0 \right)$$
if only it  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{H}$ 

Let  $m \in \mathcal{H}_{+} \varphi = m \pi \qquad s(m \pi) = [+2(\lambda - \lambda^{2})((-1)^{m} - 1)]$ 

For  $m \in \mathcal{H}_{+} \varphi = m \pi \qquad s(m \pi) = [-2(\lambda - \lambda^{2})((-1)^{m} - 1)]$ 

For  $m \in \mathcal{H}_{+} \varphi = m \pi \qquad s(m \pi) = [-2(\lambda - \lambda^{2})((-1)^{m} - 1)]$ 

For  $m \in \mathcal{H}_{+} \varphi = m \pi \qquad s(m \pi) = [-2(\lambda - \lambda^{2})((-1)^{m} - 1)]$ 

So  $[s(\psi)]^{2} \xi = [-2(\lambda - \lambda^{2})((-1)^{m} - 1)]$ 
 $[s(\psi)]^{2} \xi = [-2(\lambda - \lambda^{2})((-1)^{m} - 1)]$ 

## von Neumann Stability: ETCS

Let  $\lambda = ah_t/h_x$ . Then

$$u_{k,\ell+1} = \frac{\lambda}{2} u_{k-1,\ell} + u_{k,\ell} - \frac{\lambda}{2} u_{k+1,\ell}.$$

So 
$$\hat{p}(\theta) = 1$$
 and  $\hat{q}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\varphi} + 1 - \frac{\lambda}{2}e^{-i\varphi(-1)} = 1 - \lambda \sin(\varphi)i$ 

where  $\hat{q}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\varphi} + 1 - \frac{\lambda}{2}e^{-i\varphi(-1)} = 1 - \lambda \sin(\varphi)i$ 
 $\hat{q}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\varphi} + 1 - \frac{\lambda}{2}e^{-i\varphi(-1)} = 1 - \lambda \sin(\varphi)i$ 
 $\hat{q}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\varphi} + 1 - \frac{\lambda}{2}e^{-i\varphi(-1)} = 1 - \lambda \sin(\varphi)i$ 
 $\hat{q}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\varphi} + 1 - \frac{\lambda}{2}e^{-i\varphi(-1)} = 1 - \lambda \sin(\varphi)i$ 
 $\hat{q}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\varphi} + 1 - \frac{\lambda}{2}e^{-i\varphi(-1)} = 1 - \lambda \sin(\varphi)i$ 
 $\hat{q}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\varphi} + 1 - \frac{\lambda}{2}e^{-i\varphi(-1)} = 1 - \lambda \sin(\varphi)i$ 
 $\hat{q}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\varphi} + 1 - \frac{\lambda}{2}e^{-i\varphi(-1)} = 1 - \lambda \sin(\varphi)i$ 
 $\hat{q}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\varphi} + 1 - \frac{\lambda}{2}e^{-i\varphi(-1)} = 1 - \lambda \sin(\varphi)i$ 
 $\hat{q}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\varphi} + 1 - \frac{\lambda}{2}e^{-i\varphi(-1)} = 1 - \lambda \sin(\varphi)i$ 
 $\hat{q}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\varphi} + 1 - \frac{\lambda}{2}e^{-i\varphi(-1)} = 1 - \lambda \sin(\varphi)i$ 
 $\hat{q}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\varphi} + 1 - \frac{\lambda}{2}e^{-i\varphi(-1)} = 1 - \lambda \sin(\varphi)i$ 
 $\hat{q}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\varphi} + 1 - \frac{\lambda}{2}e^{-i\varphi(-1)} = 1 - \lambda \sin(\varphi)i$ 
 $\hat{q}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\varphi} + 1 - \frac{\lambda}{2}e^{-i\varphi(-1)} = 1 - \lambda \sin(\varphi)i$ 
 $\hat{q}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\varphi} + 1 - \frac{\lambda}{2}e^{-i\varphi(-1)} = 1 - \lambda \sin(\varphi)i$ 
 $\hat{q}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\varphi} + 1 - \frac{\lambda}{2}e^{-i\varphi(-1)} = 1 - \lambda \sin(\varphi)i$ 
 $\hat{q}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\varphi} + \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\varphi} +$ 

# von Neumann Stability: Crank-Nicolson

Let 
$$\lambda = ah_t/(4h_x)$$
 
$$-\lambda u_{k-1,\ell+1} + u_{k,\ell+1} + \lambda u_{k+1,\ell+1} = \lambda u_{k-1,\ell} + u_{k,\ell} - \lambda u_{k+1,\ell}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} & P_{h} = triding(-\lambda_{1})_{1}\lambda) & Q_{h} = triding(\lambda_{1}/, -\lambda) \\ & \hat{p}(\Psi) = -\lambda e^{-i\Psi} + | +\lambda e^{i\Psi} = | +7\lambda i \sin(\Psi) \\ & \hat{q}(\Psi) = \lambda e^{-i\Psi} + | -\lambda e^{i\Psi} = | -7\lambda i \sin(\Psi) \\ & | s(\Psi)|^{2} = \frac{1 + 4 \sin^{2}(\Psi)}{1 + 4 \sin^{2}(\Psi)} = | \end{aligned}$$

(N is unconditionally shabe.

#### Outline

#### Introduction

#### Finite Difference Methods for Time-Dependent Problems

1D Advection Stability and Convergence Von Neumann Stability

Dispersion and Dissipation

A Glimpse of Parabolic PDEs

Finite Volume Methods for Hyperbolic Conservation Laws

Finite Element Methods for Elliptic Problems

Discontinuous Galerkin Methods for Hyperbolic Problems

# Studying Solutions of the PDE

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left( e^{\lambda x} \right) = \lambda \left( e^{\lambda} \right)$$

Saw numerically: interesting dispersion/dissipation behavior. Want: theoretical understanding.

Consider linear, continuous (not yet discrete) differential operators

$$L_1 u = u_t + au_x,$$
  
 $L_2 u = u_t - Du_{xx} + au_x$  (D>0)  
 $L_3 u = u_t + au_x - \mu u_{xxx}.$ 

What could we use as a prototype solution?

## A Prototype Solution of the PDE

Observation: all these operators are diagonalized by complex exponentials. Come up with a 'prototype complex exponential solution'.

What type of function is this?

## Wave-like Solutions of the PDE

$z(x,t)=z_0 e^{i(kx-\omega t)}$
Observations in connection with L?
What is the dispersion relation?