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## Function Spaces

Consider

$$
f_{n}(x)= \begin{cases}-1 & x \leq-\frac{1}{n} \\ \frac{3 n}{2} x-\frac{n^{3}}{2} x^{3} & -\frac{1}{n}<x<\frac{1}{n} \\ 1 & x \geq 1 / n\end{cases}
$$

Converges to the step function. Problem?

$$
\frac{f_{n} \in C^{\prime}(\mathbb{R}) \quad h_{n} t \quad f \text { is nob even corf. }}{f(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & x<0 \\
1 & x>0
\end{array}\right.}
$$

## Norms

## Definition (Norm)

A norm $\|\cdot\|$ maps an element of a vector space into $[0, \infty)$. It satisfies:

- $\|x\|=0 \Leftrightarrow x=0 \lessdot$ dofinite ness
- $\|\lambda x\|=\underline{|\lambda|\|x\|}$
- $\|x+y\| \leq\|x\|+\|y\|$ (triangle inequality)

Convergence

Definition (Convergent Sequence)
$x_{n} \rightarrow x: \Leftrightarrow\left\|x_{n}-x\right\| \rightarrow 0$ (convergence in norm)
Definition (Cauchy Sequence)
for all $s>0$ there exits on $n$ for which

$$
\left\|x_{\nu}-x_{m}\right\| \leqslant \varepsilon \text { for } r, \mu \geq n,
$$

Banach Spaces
Definition (Complete/"Banach" space)
Cauchy $\Rightarrow$ (convergent
What's special about Cauchy sequences?
Limit (in same function space) shows up out of Counterexamples? this air.



More on $C^{0}$
Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be open. Is $C^{0}(\Omega)$ with $\|f\|_{\infty}:=\sup _{x \in \Omega}|f(x)|$ Banach?
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Let $\varepsilon>0$. Then exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\sin _{x \in \Omega}\left|f_{n}(x)-f_{m}(x)\right|<\varepsilon$ for all $n, m \geqslant N$.
Take the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$
\max _{x \in \Omega}\left|f_{h}(x)-f(x)\right|<\varepsilon \Rightarrow\left\|f_{1}-f\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0 \text {. }
$$

niiform convenance
$C^{m}$ Spaces
Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

$$
f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

Consider a multi-index $\boldsymbol{k}=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$ and define the symbols

$$
D^{k} p=\frac{\partial^{|\vec{k}|}}{\partial_{s_{1}}^{k_{1}} \ldots \partial_{x_{n}}^{k_{n}}} f \quad|\vec{k}|=k_{1}+\cdots+k_{n}
$$

Definition ( $C^{m}$ Spaces)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C^{n}(\Omega)=\left\{f \in C^{0}(\Omega): D^{\vec{k}} f \in C^{0}(\Omega) \text { s.t. }|k| \leq m\right\} \\
& \left.C^{\infty}(\Omega)=\left\{\rho \in C^{0}(\Omega): D^{\vec{k}} f \in C^{0}(\Omega) \text { for all } \vec{k}\right\}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

ºal ${ }_{\text {bdry }} \rightarrow C_{0}^{m}(\Omega)=\left\{f \in C^{u}(\Omega)\right.$ : f have compact supportps

Egg. $C^{2}: \quad \partial_{x x}^{2} \partial_{y y}^{2} u \in C^{0}$ ? no!

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{x}^{2} n \\
\partial_{x} \partial_{y} h \\
\partial_{y y}^{2} n
\end{array}\right\} \in C^{0}
$$

yes.'
"support" of a faction: $\{x \in 0 \in f(x\} \neq 0\}$
compact": closed + bonded (only in $\left.\mathbb{R}^{h}\right)$
$L^{p}$ Spaces
$l^{2}: \sqrt[n]{\varepsilon\left|x_{i}\right|} \mid=\|\times\|_{l p}$
Let $1 \leq p<\infty$.

## Definition ( $L^{p}$ Spaces)

$$
\begin{gathered}
L^{p}(\Omega):=\left\{u:(u: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}) \text { measurable, } \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d x<\infty\right\}, \\
\|u\|_{p}:=\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Definition ( $L^{\infty}$ Space)

$$
\begin{array}{r}
L^{\infty}(\Omega):=\{u:(u: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}),|u(x)|<\infty \text { almost everywhere }\}, \\
\|u\|_{\infty}=\inf \{C:|u(x)| \leq C \text { almost everywhere }\} .
\end{array}
$$

$L^{p}$ Spaces: Properties
Theorem (Hölder's Inequality)
For $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ with $1 / p+1 / q=1$ and measurable $u$ and $v$,

$$
\|u v\|_{1} \leqslant\|u\|_{p}\|v\|_{q}
$$

(gen, of Canchy-Schacrar)
Theorem (Minkowski's Inequality (Triangle inequality in $L^{p}$ ))
For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $u, v \in L^{p}(\Omega)$,

$$
\|u+v\|_{p} \in\|u\|_{p}+\|v\|_{p}
$$

## Inner Product Spaces

Let $V$ be a vector space.

## Definition (Inner Product)

An inner product is a function $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for any $f, g, h \in V$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rightarrow\langle f, f\rangle & \geq 0 \\
\rightarrow\langle f, f\rangle & =0 \Leftrightarrow f=0 \\
\langle f, g\rangle & =\langle g, f\rangle, \\
\langle\alpha f+g, h\rangle & =\alpha\langle f, h\rangle+\langle g, h\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition (Induced Norm)

$$
\|f\|=\sqrt{\langle f, f\rangle} .
$$

## Definition (Hilbert Space)

An inner product space that is complete under the induced norm. $\|d\|=\theta$
Let $\Omega$ be open.
$\Rightarrow d=0$
Theorem ( $L^{2}$ )
$L^{2}(\Omega)$ equals the closure of (set of all limits of Cauchy sequences in)
$C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ under the induced norm $\|\cdot\|_{2}$ )
Theorem (Hilbert Projection (e.g. Yosida '95, Thy. III.1))
$S_{n+n}=R$

## Weak Derivatives

Define the space $L_{\text {loc }}^{1}$ of locally integrable functions.

## Definition (Weak Derivative)

$v \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}(\Omega)$ is the weak partial derivative of $u \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}(\Omega)$ of multi-index order $\boldsymbol{k}$ if

## Weak Derivatives: Examples (1/2)

Consider all these on the interval $[-1,1]$.

$$
f_{1}(x)=4(1-x) x
$$

$$
f_{2}(x)= \begin{cases}2 x & x \leq 1 / 2 \\ 2-2 x & x>1 / 2\end{cases}
$$

Weak Derivatives: Examples (2/2)

$$
f_{3}(x)=\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}-\sqrt{|x-1 / 2|}
$$

## Sobolev Spaces

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $1 \leq p<\infty$.

## Definition (( $k, p)$-Sobolev Norm/Space)

More Sobolev Spaces
$W^{0,2}$ ?
$\square$
$W^{s, 2}$ ?
$H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ ?
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## An Elliptic Model Problem

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ open, bounded, $f \in H^{1}(\Omega)$.

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
-\nabla \cdot \nabla u+u & =f(x) & (x \in \Omega) \\
u(x) & =0 \quad(x \in \partial \Omega) .
\end{array}
$$

Let $V:=H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Integration by parts? (Gauss's theorem applied to ab):

Weak form?

## Motivation: Bilinear Forms and Functionals

$$
\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v+\int_{\Omega} u v=\int f v .
$$

This is the weak form of the strong-form problem. The task is to find a $u \in V$ that satisfies this for all test functions $v \in V$.

Recast this in terms of bilinear forms and functionals:

## Dual Spaces and Functionals

## Bounded Linear Functional

Let $(V,\|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space. A linear functional is a linear function $g: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. It is bounded ( $\Leftrightarrow$ continuous) if there exists a constant $C$ so that $|g(v)| \leq C\|v\|$ for all $v \in V$.

## Dual Space

Let $(V,\|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space. Then the dual space $V^{\prime}$ is the space of bounded linear functionals on $V$.

## Dual Space is Banach (cf. e.g. Yosida '95 Thm. IV.7.1)

$V^{\prime}$ is a Banach space with the dual norm

## Functionals in the Model Problem

Is $g$ from the model problem a bounded functional? (In what space?)

That bound felt loose and wasteful. Can we do better?

## Riesz Representation Theorem (1/3)

Let $V$ be a Hilbert space with inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$.

## Theorem (Riesz)

Let $g$ be a bounded linear functional on $V$, i.e. $g \in V^{\prime}$. Then there exists a unique $u \in V$ so that $g(v)=\langle u, v\rangle$ for all $v \in V$.

Riesz Representation Theorem: Proof $(2 / 3)$
Have $w \in N(g)^{\perp} \backslash\{0\}, \alpha=g(w) \neq 0$, and $z:=v-(g(v) / \alpha) w \perp w$.

Riesz Representation Theorem: Proof $(3 / 3)$

Uniqueness of $u$ ?
(

## Back to the Model Problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
a(u, v) & =\langle\nabla u, \nabla v\rangle_{L^{2}}+\langle u, v\rangle_{L^{2}} \\
g(v) & =\langle f, v\rangle_{L^{2}} \\
a(u, v) & =g(v)
\end{aligned}
$$

Have we learned anything about the solvability of this problem?

Poisson
Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ open, bounded, $f \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$.

This is called the Poisson problem (with Dirichlet BCs).
Weak form?

## Ellipticity

Let $V$ be Hilbert space.

## V-Ellipticity

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot): V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called coercive if there exists a constant $c_{0}>0$ so that
and $a$ is called continuous if there exists a constant $c_{1}>0$ so that

If $a$ is both coercive and continuous on $V$, then $a$ is said to be $V$-elliptic.

## Lax-Milgram Theorem

Let $V$ be Hilbert space with inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$.

## Lax-Milgram, Symmetric Case

Let $a$ be a $V$-elliptic bilinear form that is also symmetric, and let $g$ be a bounded linear functional on $V$.
Then there exists a unique $u \in V$ so that $a(u, v)=g(v)$ for all $v \in V$.

## Back to Poisson

Can we declare victory for Poisson?
$\square$
Can this inequality hold in general, without further assumptions?


## Poincaré-Friedrichs Inequality (1/3)

Theorem (Poincaré-Friedrichs Inequality)
Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is bounded and $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Then there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\|u\|_{L^{2}} \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}} .
$$

Poincaré-Friedrichs Inequality $(2 / 3)$
Prove the result in $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Poincaré-Friedrichs Inequality $(3 / 3)$

Prove the result in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$.

## Back to Poisson, Again

Show that the Poisson bilinear form is coercive.

Draw a conclusion on Poisson:
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Discontinuous Galerkin Methods for Hyperbolic Problems

## Ritz-Galerkin

Some key goals for this section:

- How do we use the weak form to compute an approximate solution?
- What can we know about the accuracy of the approximate solution?

Can we pick one underlying principle for the construction of the approximation?

## Galerkin Orthogonality

$$
a(u, v)=g(v) \quad \text { for all } v \in V, a\left(u_{h}, v_{h}\right)=g\left(v_{h}\right) \quad \text { for all } v_{h} \in V_{h} .
$$

Observations?

## Céa's Lemma

Let $V \subset H$ be a closed subspace of a Hilbert space $H$.

## Céa's Lemma

Let $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ be a coercive and continuous bilinear form on $V$. In addition, for a bounded linear functional $g$ on $V$, let $u \in V$ satisfy

$$
a(u, v)=g(v) \quad \text { for all } v \in V .
$$

Consider the finite-dimensional subspace $V_{h} \subset V$ and $u_{h} \in V_{h}$ that satisfies

$$
a\left(u_{h}, v_{h}\right)=g\left(v_{h}\right) \quad \text { for all } v_{h} \in V_{h} .
$$

Then


## Céa's Lemma: Proof

Recall Galerkin orthgonality: $a\left(u_{h}-u, v_{h}\right)=0$ for all $v_{h} \in V_{h}$. Show the result.


## Elliptic Regularity

## Definition ( $H^{s}$ Regularity)

Let $m \geq 1, H_{0}^{m}(\Omega) \subseteq V \subseteq H^{m}(\Omega)$ and $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ a $V$-elliptic bilinear form. The bilinear form $a(u, v)=\langle f, v\rangle$ for all $v \in V$ is called $H^{s}$ regular, if for every $f \in H^{s-2 m}$ there exists a solution $u \in H^{s}(\Omega)$ and we have with a constant $C(\Omega, a, s)$,

## Theorem (Elliptic Regularity (cf. Braess Thm. 7.2))

Let a be a $H_{0}^{1}$-elliptic bilinear form with sufficiently smooth coefficient functions.

## Elliptic Regularity: Counterexamples

Are the conditions on the boundary essential for elliptic regularity?

Are there any particular concerns for mixed boundary conditions?

## Estimating the Error in the Energy Norm

Come up with an idea of a bound on $\left\|u-u_{h}\right\|_{H^{1}}$.

What's still to do?

## $L^{2}$ Estimates

Let $H$ be a Hilbert space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{H}$ and the inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$. (Think: $H=L^{2}, V=H^{1}$.)

## Theorem (Aubin-Nitsche)

Let $V \subseteq H$ be a subspace that becomes a Hilbert space under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{V}$. Let the embedding $V \rightarrow H$ be continuous. Then we have for the finite element solution $u \in V_{h} \subset V$ :
if with every $g \in H$ we associate the unique (weak) solution $\varphi_{g}$ of the equation (also called the dual problem)

